Filed 08/08/2008

Page 1 of 182

Case 3:08-cv-00713-JAH-BLM Document 11-4

APPELLEE'S SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX **VOLUME 2 OF 3**

EXCERPTS OF THE RECORD ON APPEAL (IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER)

TAB NUMBER ¹	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBERS
105	Petitioning Creditors' Motion for an Enforcement Order: (1) Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor; and (2) Imposing Evidentiary Sanctions Against the Debtor	0152-0153
105-1	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for an Enforcement Order: (1) Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor; and (2) Imposing Evidentiary Sanctions Against the Debtor	0154-0171
105-2	Declaration of L. Scott Keehn in Support of Petitioning Creditors' Motion for an Enforcement Order: (1) Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor; and (2) Imposing Evidentiary Sanctions Against the Debtor	0172-0175
105-3	Exhibits A-C to Declaration of L. Scott Keehn	0176-0238
105-4	Exhibits D-I to Declaration of L. Scott Keehn	0239-0294
110	Transcript of Hearing held on 06/25/2007	0295-0314
114	Petitioning Creditors' Motion for an Enforcement Order Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor	0315-0316
114-1	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for an Enforcement Order Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor	0317-0323

-1-114683/5311.02

Tab numbers are also the Docket Number references to the docket for the underlying Bankruptcy Case In re Francis J. Lopez, before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 05-05926-PB7.

TAB 105

CSD 118 Case 3:08 cv-00713-JAH-BLM Name, Address, Telephone No. & I.D. No. L. Scott Keehn (SBN 61691) Leslie F. Keehn (SBN 199153) KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-2200 Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors	Filed 08/08/200	8 Page 4 of 182	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COU SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California			
In Re FRANCIS J. LOPEZ,		BANKRUPTCY NO.	05-05926-PBINV
Tax I.D.(EIN)#:/S.S.#:XXX-XX	Alleged Debtor.		

NOTICE OF HEARING AND MOTION

TO: Alleged Debtor, Francis J. Lopez, Other Parties in Interest, and their Attorneys of Record

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that on June 25, 2007 , at	10:30 a.m.,
in Department No. 4 , Room 328 the Jacob Weinberger United States Courthouse, located at 325 West	
San Diego, California 92101-6991, there will be a hearing regarding the motion ofthe Petitioning Creditors	,
for an Enforcement Order: (1) Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor in the amount of \$4,242.00; and (2	2) Imposing
Evidentiary Sanctions Against the Debtor.	, , ,

Any opposition or other response to this motion must be served upon the undersigned and the original and one copy of such papers with proof of service must be filed with the Clerk of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court at 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California 92101-6991, NOT LATER THAN FOURTEEN (14)¹ DAYS FROM THE DATE OF SERVICE.

DATED: May 24, 2007

//s// L. Scott Keehn

[Attorney for] Moving Party

¹If you were served electronically or by mail, you have three (3) additional days to take the above-stated actions. **ASA 0152**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned whose address appears below, certify:

That I am, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, more than 18 years of age;

That on <u>24th</u> day of <u>May, 2007</u>, I served a true copy of the within NOTICE OF MOTION AND HEARING, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER, and DECLARATION OF L. SCOTT KEEHN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER by [describe here mode of service]

FIRST CLASS MAIL

on the following persons [set forth name and address of each person served] and/or as checked below:

[/] Attorney for Debtor (if required):

M. Jonathan Hayes Law Office of M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

See attached Service List

For Chpt. 7, 11, & 12 cases:

[/]

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE THOMAS H. BILLINGSLEA, JR., TRUSTEE DAVID L. SKELTON, TRUSTEE Department of Justice 530 "B" Street, Suite. 1500 525 "B" Street, Suite 1430 402 West Broadway, Suite 600 San Diego, CA 92101 San Diego, CA 92101-4507 San Diego, CA 92101 I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct Executed on May 24, 2007 //s// Mark P. Laemmle (Date) (Typed Name and Signature) 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210 (Address) San Diego, CA 92101 (City, State, ZIP Code)

For ODD numbered Chapter 13 cases:

For EVEN numbered Chapter 13 cases:

TAB 105-1

See, Docket Item # 104.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 : FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONCLUSION

_	I.	INTRO	DDUCTION	3
3	II.		UAL AND PROCEDURAL CHRONOLOGY OF LOPEZ'S NSIVE HISTORY OF HINDERING, DELAYING AND REFUSING	
1	ı		OVIDE PROPER DISCOVERY RÉSPONSES DESPITE BEING RED TO DO SO BY THIS COURT	4
5	III.			
6	111.	DISCU	JSSION	/
7		A.	Sanctions are a Necessary and Appropriate Response to Lopez's Brazen Disregard of His Discovery Obligations and this Court's Orders.	7
8		B.	Lopez's Discovery Abuse is Unfairly Prejudicial to Existing Creditors	8
9		C.	Evidentiary Sanctions Should Now be Imposed	9
0		D.	The Deferred Monetary Sanctions Should Now Be Imposed	3

ASA 0155

3

4 5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 · FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

I.

INTRODUCTION

Delays have dangerous ends.

William Shakespeare Henry VI, Part One Act III, Scene ii

Francis J. Lopez — Master Scofflaw — is pulling this case and its creditors down the dangerous path of delay by ignoring the Court's mandate for compliance with his duties to disclose that which unopposed discovery compels. Having paralyzed the case first by his refusal to cooperate and second by his disobedience to the court's ruling, Lopez remains at liberty to create an unlimited variety of "Priority Gap Claims" which will slip ahead of all of the pre-petition unsecured creditors. The plight of those creditors is exacerbated by the automatic stay which prevents them from taking any enforcement action to preserve the economic utility of their claims.³ The risks of prejudice are further heightened by the initial delay that was occasioned by the bifurcation of the case — at Lopez's request — so that the Involuntary Gap Period was necessarily rendered longer than the norm, even before the latest delays were encountered.

What mischief has already occurred behind the shield of delay, and what further mischief may yet occur before the Order for Relief is ultimately entered, is impossible to ascertain. But, what can be seen with absolute clarity is that Lopez will not willingly discharge the duties of a litigant to participate in good faith in this process. He has used his passive/aggressive tactic of delay to the prejudice of the creditors, and that prejudice must be truncated so that the dangerous end of an expanding pool of priority claims is held in check.

Lopez's disobedience is particularly troubling because it demonstrates that — beyond his willingness to disobey court mandates — he is impervious to the threat of monetary sanctions. At the hearing conducted on March 12, 2007, this Court made clear on the record: (a) the seriousness of Lopez's failure to respond to discovery which he never opposed; (b) its willingness to defer the

See 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(f) and 507(a)(3).

See 11 U.S.C. § 362(a).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

issue of ruling on the requested sanctions of \$4,242; and (c) its intention that the risk of sanctions was to serve as a Sword of Damocles suspended above Lopez to motivate compliance. With that in mind, the court then gave him another 30 days — to April 11, 2007 — to fully respond to discovery promulgated five months earlier, on November 3, 2006. In response to the court's clear direction, Lopez provided an anemic and patently deficient set of "supplemental" responses which generated another fruitless round of meet and confer conferences between the parties' attorneys.

At the status conference held on May 11, 2007, this Court gave Lopez even more time to supplement his responses – until May 21, 2007. True to form, on the last day for Lopez to respond, he requested, through his attorney, another two business days to provide responses. The request was granted, on the condition that Petitioning Creditors' deadline to file any responsive discovery motion be extended from Friday May 25, 2007 to Monday May 28, 2008. On May 23, 2007, Lopez withdrew his request for an extension, and stated that he would not be supplementing his discovery responses.

Lopez's cavalier misconduct taunts this Court's threat of monetary sanctions. It is insufferable. It warrants the immediate entry of both monetary and evidentiary sanctions.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL CHRONOLOGY OF LOPEZ'S EXTENSIVE HISTORY OF HINDERING, DELAYING AND REFUSING TO PROVIDE PROPER DISCOVERY RESPONSES DESPITE BEING ORDERED TO DO SO BY THIS COURT

11/03/06: Lopez was served with Petitioning Creditors' First Set of Written Discovery for Phase II (the "Phase II Written Discovery"), consisting of (1) First Phase II Requests for Admission Propounded by Petitioning Creditors [10 Requests]; (2) First Phase II Request for Production of Documents by Petitioning Creditors [162 categories of documents]; and (3) First Phase II Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors [35 Interrogatories]. Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery were due on December 4, 2006.

12/03/06: Lopez served "Response to Requests for Admission Propounded to Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez (Phase II)." Lopez's responses were deficient, and Lopez failed to verify the responses.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	12/05/06:	Lopez served "Response to Interrogatories Propounded to Alleged Debtor
2		Francis J. Lopez (Phase II). Lopez's responses were deficient, and Lopez
3		failed to verify the responses. Lopez also served "Response to Requests for
4		Production of Documents." Lopez's responses were deficient.
5	12/13/06:	Petitioning Creditors' attorney, L. Scott Keehn, sent a meet and confer letter to
6		Lopez's attorney, M. Jonathan Hayes, notifying him of the deficiencies in
7		Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.
8	12/15/06:	Attorneys Keehn and Hayes participated in a telephonic meet and confer
9		conference wherein the parties agreed that Lopez would provide supplemental
10		responses to the Phase II Written Discovery on or before January 12, 2007.
11	01/12/07:	Deadline for Lopez to provide the promised supplemental responses to the
12		Phase II Written Discovery. Lopez failed, without explanation, to provide
13		supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.
14	01/19/07:	Attorney Keehn sent a follow-up meet and confer letter to attorney Hayes
15		requesting an explanation regarding Lopez's failure to provide the promised
16		supplemental responses to discovery, and notifying Lopez of the imminent
17		likelihood of a motion to compel his responses to the Phase II Written

confer letter.

01/29/07: Petitioning Creditors filed a Motion to Compel responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. Lopez failed, without explanation, to respond or file an Opposition to that Motion.

Discovery. Lopez failed, without explanation, to respond to that meet and

03/10/07: On a Saturday, just two days prior to the scheduled hearing on Petitioning Creditors' Motion to Compel Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery, attorney Hayes emailed approximately 155 pages of documents to attorney Keehn, purportedly in response to the "First Phase II Request for Production of Documents by Petitioning Creditors." This last-minute "document dump" was improper because the documents: (1) were not

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

responsive to the Requests, (2) were not organized by category of Request,
and (3) consisted of at least 103 pages of pleadings filed in the San Diego
Superior Court which are already in the Petitioning Creditors' possession
Lopez failed, without explanation, to explain the deficiencies and/or his
failure to provide the agreed-upon supplemental responses.
This Court granted Petitioning Creditors' motion to compel Lopez to provide
supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. ⁴ The Court ordered

03/12/07: Lopez to provide the supplemental responses on or before April 11, 2007. The Court — in open session — indicated that it was deferring its ruling on the request for monetary sanctions of \$4,242 because: (a) it wanted the risk of those sanctions to serve as a Sword of Damocles to encourage compliance with the Court's order; and (b) Lopez would have to "work his way out of those sanctions." Lopez failed, without explanation, to fully comply with this Court's Order.

04/10/07: Lopez mailed a set of supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery which were patently deficient in that, i.e., they failed to fully respond to the Interrogatories asked, failed to provide facts in support of asserted denials to the Requests for Admissions, and failed to produce responsive documents.

05/11/07: (1) This Court ordered Lopez to file proper supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery on or before May 21, 2007. Lopez failed to comply with this Court's Order, stating that no further responses will be given.

> (2) Attorneys Keehn and Hayes met and conferred at Mr. Keehn's office regarding the deficiencies in Lopez's supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. Attorney Hayes requested attorney Keehn set forth the deficiencies in a meet and confer letter.

05/14/07: As requested, attorney Keehn sent a meet and confer letter via email and first

See, Docket Item #93.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

class United States mail to attorney Hayes which enumerated each and every
deficiency in Lopez's supplemental responses to the Phase II Written
Discovery.

- 05/21/07: (1) Deadline for Lopez to supplement his supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. Lopez failed to provide supplemental responses as required by this Court's Order.
 - (2) After the close of business, at 7:10 P.M., attorney Hayes emailed attorney Keehn a request for two additional days for Lopez to supplement his responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.
- 05/22/07: Attorney Keehn responded to attorney Hayes' email by granting the requested two-day extension of time, in exchange for a stipulation providing Petitioning Creditors with an extension of time – from May 25, 2007 to May 30, 2007 – to file any necessary discovery motion.
- 05/23/07: Attorney Hayes emailed attorney Keehn to withdraw Lopez's request for an extension of time, and notify him that Lopez would not be providing any additional responses.

III.

DISCUSSION

Sanctions are a Necessary and Appropriate Response to Lopez's Brazen Disregard of His Discovery Obligations and this Court's Orders.

Pursuant to FRCP Rule 37(b)(2), made applicable to these proceedings by FRBP Rule 9014(c), this Court has broad discretion to issue appropriate sanctions for Lopez's continued, unreasonable refusal to provide discovery. Where, as here, an alleged debtor has engaged in a course of conduct clearly designed to avoid his discovery obligations, even the seemingly "harsh" sanction of striking the debtor's answer and adjudicating him a bankrupt is both appropriate and

Matter of Visioneering Const., 661 F.2d 119, 123 - 124 (9th Cir. 1981); In re Heritage Bond Litigation, 223 F.R.D. 527, 530 (Dist. Ct. C.D. Cal. 2004); In re Williams, 215 B.R. 289, 299 (Dist. Ct. D.R.I.,1997) ("the choice and severity of the sanction imposed is a matter reserved to the sanctioning court's discretion").

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

necessary to avoid encouraging "a blatant disregard for the discovery mechanism." Petitioning Creditors are willing – for the moment – to stop short of requesting terminating sanctions, and accept a combination of evidentiary and monetary sanctions (as originally requested) to enable this case to proceed forward to summary judgment.

Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery (served on November 03, 2006) were due on December 4, 2006. Almost six months have now passed since that original production date, and Petitioning Creditors have yet to receive Lopez's responses, or even a reasonable justification for the delay. And that is not because Petitioning Creditors have not been trying. As set forth in Section II above, Petitioning Creditors made multiple efforts to meet and confer with Lopez before obtaining this Court's Orders compelling Lopez to provide full and complete discovery responses. Unfortunately, this Court's Orders have not had much impact on Lopez. At this point, Lopez is no longer willing to even feign compliance – e.g., with an eleventh-hour document dump (as he did on March 10, 2007). Instead, his attorney simply emailed notification to attorney Keehn that no supplemental responses will be provided. Based on that communication, there appears to be nothing more that either this Court or Petitioning Creditors can do to force Lopez to provide supplemental discovery responses.

Lopez's Discovery Abuse is Unfairly Prejudicial to Existing Creditors. В.

Lopez's strategic delaying of these proceedings unfairly exposes all creditors to unnecessary prejudice, the extent of which is currently unknown, in that Lopez is free to incur new debt that will be superior to the claims of all pre-petition creditors due to the priority status given to gap claims under 11 U.S.C. §502(f), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §507(a)(3). As we approach June 30, 2007, which will be the second anniversary of the petition, the specter of an everexpanding body of gap claims looms as an ominous threat to the economic utility of the Bankruptcy remedy sought by the Petitioning Creditors. It is ironic, inequitable and intolerable that the creditors should continue to be exposed to that peril because Lopez refuses to comply with

In re Rice, 14 B.R. 843, 846 (9th Cir.BAP 1981); Matter of Visioneering Const., supra, 661 F.2d at 123 (court's order striking alleged debtor's answer was an appropriate sanction since the debtor had "deliberately and obstinately refused to cooperate with discovery requests and court orders").

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

both his discovery obligations, and the orders of the Court. Lopez's game-playing must stop now so that this estate can proceed toward a fair and orderly administration. At the same time, all creditors deserve to have the prejudice caused by Lopez's willful disregard of the Court's order neutralized. Since there is no way to turn back the hands of time or undo any gap claims that Lopez may have created in his period of non-compliance, evidentiary sanctions is the only way to get this case processed toward the entry of an order for relief.

Evidentiary Sanctions Should Now be Imposed. C.

Since Lopez has now flatly refused to supplement his responses to the Phase II Written Discovery, the following evidentiary sanctions should be imposed pursuant to FRCP 37(b)(2):

Interrogatory No. 1. This Interrogatory requires Lopez to state all facts upon which he based any response to the "Requests for Admissions" which is not an unqualified admission. He failed to do so in support of his denial to Request for Admission Number 5 ("Admit that with respect to the STANLY JUDGMENT, YOU never requested a stay of enforcement pending YOUR appeal of that judgment."). Given Lopez's blatant refusal to supplement his response despite the Court's threat of monetary sanctions, the most "appropriate sanction, reasonably related to the subject of discovery that was frustrated by sanctionable conduct," is an evidentiary sanction deeming Lopez's response to Request for Admission Number 5 an unqualified admission.⁷

In In re Heritage Bond Litigation, supra, the defendants failed to comply with the court's order to supplement their responses to a request for documents pertaining to an alleged fraudulent transfer of property. 8 Given the defendants' unreasonable delay and noncompliance, the court issued an evidentiary sanction precluding defendants from defending against the fraudulent transfer cause of action. Likewise here, given Lopez's unrelenting delay tactics and failure to comply with his discovery obligations and/or this Court's orders, a conclusive evidentiary sanction appears to be the only way this case will be processed forward.

In re Heritage Bond Litigation, supra, 223 F.R.D. at 531.

Id. at 529 & 531.

Id. at 531.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Interrogatory No. 3 This interrogatory states:

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories is an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS, writings and other tangible things that YOU contend support YOUR response.

In his supplemental response to this Interrogatory, Lopez referenced Request for Admission Number 1 ("Admit that you received no cash payments from NOVEON between January 1, 2005, and July 1, 2005"), and identified certain checks he had received as payments from NOVEON – the business owned by him and his wife – during the relevant time period: "Check number 5135 for \$1,000.00 issued by Noveon Systems, Inc. on 1/4/2005; check number 5171 for \$35.00 issued by Noveon Systems, Inc. on 4/26/05." Copies of these checks have not been produced, despite being requested within the scope of Request for Production 154 and 155 (requesting "any and all DOCUMENTS that are identified or referred to in any of your responses to the INTERROGATORIES [and] REQUEST FOR ADMISSION"). This evidence is essential to the Petitioning Creditors' ability to establish Lopez's lack of income to pay his debts as they came due as of the Petition Date. The appropriate sanction for Lopez's failure to provide that relevant evidence is an evidentiary sanction prohibiting him from producing any evidence of any type – including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes – of cash payments he received from Noveon during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005.10

Interrogatory No. 4. This Interrogatory states:

IDENTIFY by date, source, and amount, all cash receipts of any kind (including loan proceeds) that YOU received from any source — including but not limited to — NOVEON between January 1 and July 1, 2005.

In Lopez's supplemental response to this Interrogatory, he identifies four sources of minimal cash (totaling \$1,235.34) for the relevant period, and then makes the vague claim that

Id.

ASA 0163

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"[t]here are other cash receipt transactions that may be responsive to this interrogatory, I am still researching those." This response is disingenuous given the amount of time Lopez has had to adequately "research" his records for responsive information. The appropriate sanction for this sort of hindering and game-playing is to impose an evidentiary sanction prohibiting Lopez from producing any evidence of any type – including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes – of cash he received during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005, except for the \$1,235.34 already disclosed in his supplemental response.¹¹

Interrogatory No. 5 This interrogatory requires Lopez to identify cash received by his current wife, Madeleine Lopez (with whom he lives), during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005. Lopez refuses to provide this information, and instead makes the patently unbelievable claim that "[t]he requested information is not in my possession or control." Lopez has never even attempted to explain why he is unable to obtain the requested information from his wife with whom he shares a home, a business and bank accounts; or, most significantly, what efforts, if any, he made to obtain the information.

In In re Heritage Bond Litigation, supra, the court cited the defendants' "minimal" efforts to obtain the requested documents from third parties as a basis to issue civil contempt sanctions for their failure to comply with the prior discovery order mandating production. ¹² As explained in *In* re Heritage Bond Litigation, supra, the appropriate sanction for this blatant game-playing is to impose an evidentiary sanction prohibiting the proffering of any evidence at trial pertaining to that which was not produced during discovery.¹³ Here, that sanction should prohibit Lopez from producing any evidence of any type – including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes – of cash his wife, Madeleine Lopez, received during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005.

///

25

26

27

28

Id.

11

12 *In re Heritage Bond Litigation*, supra, 223 F.R.D. at 533.

13 *Id.* at 531.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<u>Interrogatory Nos. 6 & 11.</u> These interrogatories seek information pertaining to the
nature and scope of mortgage and other obligations owed to Lehman Brothers Bank - the lender
who recorded a mortgage against the Florida residence which Lopez shares with his wife,
Madeleine Lopez – and any payments made on those obligations. In response, Lopez has
continually asserted that he either has no responsive information, or "[a]ny responsive documents
are not in my possession or control." He has never explained that response by indicating who
might, or in fact does, have possession or control of the requested information; or, most
significantly, what efforts, if any, he made to obtain the responsive information and/or documents

Since the requested information is sought to establish that, as of the Petition Date, Lopez was not in fact paying his debts as they came due, the appropriate sanction for his refusal to provide information relevant to that issue, is to impose an evidentiary sanction prohibiting Lopez from producing any evidence of any type – including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes – of the obligations owed to Lehman Brothers Bank, and/or any payments being made on those obligations prior to the Petition Date.¹⁴

Interrogatory Nos. 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 24, 27, 28, 30, 32 & 34. These Interrogatories requested information pertaining to the payments on obligations owed to the following creditors: American Express, Bank of America, Bank Card Services, Cingular, Citicard, Household Bank, Northwest Florida Daily News, Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card, Texaco, Verizon, Union Bank and Thomas B. Gorrill. Specifically, the Interrogatories stated:

> With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to [identified creditor] as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Lopez's original response to all of these Interrogatories was to object on the grounds that the question was "vague." He then failed to make a reasonable effort to provide the requested information, and instead feigned compliance with this Court's Order with irrelevant and non-

14 Id.

ASA 0165

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

responsive answers which, i.e., referred to payments made post-petition (which are not relevant to this Phase of the proceedings), claimed there was "no way to determine" the requested information, or asserted that after reviewing his unidentified "records" he did not believe he owed that creditor anything on the Petition Date.

The requested information is essential to Petitioning Creditors' ability to establish that, as of the Petition Date, Lopez was not paying his debts as they came due. Since it is now obvious that Lopez is not going to provide that essential information with respect to these creditors (and at this point it is no longer possible to provide a response that is timely in any sense of the word), the necessary and appropriate action is for this Court to impose an evidentiary sanction prohibiting Lopez from producing any evidence of any type – including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes – of: (a) the obligations owed to: American Express, Bank of America, Bank Card Services, Cingular, Citicard, Household Bank, Northwest Florida Daily News, Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card, Texaco, Verizon, Union Bank and Thomas B. Gorrill as of the Petition Date; and/or (b) any payments made on those obligations. 15

The Deferred Monetary Sanctions Should Now Be Imposed. D.

In its Order, ¹⁶ dated March 12, 2007, this Court deferred ruling on Petitioning Creditors' request for monetary sanctions as set forth in their Motion to compel Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.¹⁷ Since then, Lopez has engaged in a gamesman-like series of supplemental activities calculated to create the illusion of attempted compliance without ever yielding up a meaningful or good-faith response. It is conduct more calculated to exhaust the Petitioning Creditors' resolve than to facilitate the quest for truth that is the over-arching purpose of all civil litigation, and the function of the discovery rules. It is a course of conduct that dramatically underscores the need for meaningful sanctions for Lopez's chronic failure to fulfill his duties as a litigant. These sanctions only compensate for the burden of first bringing a

26

15

27

28

16 See, Docket Item #93.

Id.

17 See, Docket Item #91.

²⁵

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

compliance motion, and do not address the further prejudice occasioned by the continuing failure to provide obligatory discovery responses. That prejudice can only be remedied by imposition of the evidentiary sanctions requested above.

Petitioning Creditors hereby renew their request for monetary sanctions in the amount of \$4,242, consisting of the attorneys' fees incurred by Petitioning Creditors in bringing that Motion, as though fully set forth herein.

IV.

CONCLUSION

Based on all of the foregoing, Petitioning Creditors respectfully request that this Court issue an Order imposing evidentiary sanctions, and monetary sanctions against Lopez in the amount of \$4,242, as set forth in the proposed Order attached hereto, marked Exhibit 1.

Dated: May 24, 2007 KEEHN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation

> By: Scott Keehn

> > Scott Keehn **Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors**

EXHIBIT 1

CSD 100CGsc131984CV-00713-JAH-BLM Name, Address, Telephone No. & I.D. No. L. Scott Keehn, SBN 61691 Leslie F. Keehn, SBN 199153 KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-2200 Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California 92101-6991	Filed 08/08/2008 Page 22 of 182
In Re	
FRANCIS J. LOPEZ,	BANKRUPTCY NO. 05-05926-PBINV
Alleged Debtor.	Date of Hearing: June 25, 2007 Time of Hearing: 10:30 a.m. Name of Judge: Hon. Peter W. Bowie
(1) GRANTING PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTIO IMPOSING DISCOVERY SANCTIONS; (2) IMPOSING ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ IN THE AMO EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGE	MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST UNT OF \$4,242.00; AND (3) IMPOSING
IT IS ORDERED THAT the relief sought as set forth on the co	ontinuation pages attached and numbered two (2)
through 3 with exhibits, if any, for a total of 3 pages, is granted.	Motion Docket Entry No
H	
H	
II	
//	

Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court

Signature by the attorney constitutes a certification under Fed. R. of Bankr. P. 9011 that the relief in the order is the relief granted by the court.

Submitted by:

DATED:

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC

(Firm name).

By: L. Scott Keehn

Attorney for X Movant Respondent

csd 100 🕰 ടെപ്പോട് 🖟 🖧 🛂 🖟 🗜 BLM Document 11-4 Filed 08/08/2008 Page 23 of 182

(1) GRANTING PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER IMPOSING DISCOVERY SANCTIONS; (2) IMPOSING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,242.00; AND (3) IMPOSING EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ

ALLEGED DEBTOR: FRANCIS J. LOPEZ CASE NO:05-05926-PBINV

Petitioning Creditors' Motion for an Enforcement Order Imposing Discovery Sanctions against Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez (the "Motion") came on regularly for hearing on June 25, 2007, at 10:30 a.m. in department 4 of the above-entitled Court located at 325 West "F" Street, San Diego, California, the Honorable Peter W. Bowie presiding. Appearances were made by the firm of Keehn & Associates, APC, by L. Scott Keehn, on behalf of Petitioning Creditors; and the Law Office of M. Jonathan Hayes, by M. Jonathan Hayes on behalf of Alleged Debtor, Francis J. Lopez ("Lopez"), in opposition to the Motion.

The Court, having previously considered all of the pleadings, papers, requests, declarations and arguments submitted by the parties in support of their positions, and, good cause therefore appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) The Motion for an Enforcement Order Imposing Discovery Sanctions Against Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez is granted;
 - (2) It is further and specifically ordered that, within 20 days of the entry of this Order, Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez ("Lopez") shall pay monetary sanctions to Petitioning Creditors, through their attorneys, Keehn & Associates, APC, in the amount of \$4,242.00;
 - (3) It is further and specifically ordered that the following evidentiary sanctions shall apply to any and all further proceedings in this case:
 - (A) Phase II Request for Admission Number 5 is deemed admitted; and Lopez is prohibited from denying any aspect of the statement set forth in "Request for Admission Number 5" in the "First Phase II Requests for Admission Propounded by Petitioning Creditors," served on Lopez on November 3, 2006;
 - (B) Lopez is prohibited from introducing any evidence of any type including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes of receiving cash payments from Noveon Systems, Inc. during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005;
 - (C) Lopez is prohibited from introducing any evidence of any type including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes of receiving any cash from any source during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005, except for the \$1,235.34 he disclosed in his supplemental response to Interrogatory Number 4 in the "First Phase II Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors," served on Lopez on ASAn0170

CSD 100@ase 3:19800√2007€13-5/4HBBLM Document 11-4 Filed 08/08/2008 Page 24 of 182

(1) GRANTING PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER IMPOSING DISCOVERY SANCTIONS; (2) IMPOSING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,242.00; AND (3) IMPOSING EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AGAINST ALLEGED DEBTOR FRANCIS J. LOPEZ

ALLEGED DEBTOR: FRANCIS J. LOPEZ

CASE NO:05-05926-PBINV

3, 2006;

- (D) Lopez is prohibited from introducing any evidence of any type including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes of any cash his wife,
 Madeleine Lopez, received from any source during the period between January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005;
- (E) Lopez is prohibited from introducing any evidence of any type including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes of the obligations owed to Lehman Brothers Bank, and/or any payments being made on those obligations prior to the Petition Date; and
- (F) Lopez is prohibited from introducing any evidence of any type including testimonial or documentary, either proffered directly or for impeachment purposes of paying as they became due the obligations he owed to any of the following entities or persons: American Express, Bank of America, Bank Card Services, Cingular, Citicard, Household Bank, Northwest Florida Daily News, Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card, Texaco, Verizon, Union Bank and Thomas B. Gorrill, as of the Petition Date.

TAB 105-2

26

27

28

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licenced to practice before all courts of this State, and before the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. I am a shareholder of the firm Keehn & Associates APC, attorneys of record for Petitioning Creditors. I have personal knowledge of the factual matters stated herein.
- 2. On November 03, 2006, my office served Lopez with Petitioning Creditors' First Set of Written Discovery for Phase II (the "Phase II Written Discovery"), consisting of: (1) First

ASA 0172

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Phase II Requests for Admission Propounded by Petitioning Creditors [10 Requests]; (2) First
Phase II Request for Production of Documents by Petitioning Creditors [162 categories of
documents]; and (3) First Phase II Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors [35
Interrogatories]. True and correct copies of the Phase II Written Discovery are attached hereto
marked Exhibits A, B and C respectively. Lopez's responses were due on December 4, 2006.

- 3. On December 03, 2006, Lopez served his "Response to Requests for Admission Propounded to Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez (Phase II)." Lopez's responses were deficient. and Lopez failed to verify the responses.
- 4. On December 05, 2006, Lopez served his "Response to Interrogatories Propounded to Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez (Phase II). Lopez's responses were deficient, and Lopez failed to verify the responses. At the same time, Lopez served his "Response to Requests for Production of Documents." Lopez's responses were deficient.
- 5. On December 13, 2006, I sent a meet and confer letter to Lopez's attorney, M. Jonathan Hayes, notifying him of the deficiencies in Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.
- 6. On December 15, 2006, attorney Hayes and I participated in a telephonic meet and confer conference wherein the parties agreed that Lopez would provide supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery on or before January 12, 2007.
- 7. On January 12, 2007 - the Deadline for Lopez to provide the promised supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery - Lopez failed, without explanation, to provide supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.
- 8. On January 19, 2007, I sent a follow-up meet and confer letter to attorney Hayes requesting an explanation regarding Lopez's failure to provide the promised supplemental responses to discovery, and notifying Lopez of the imminent likelihood of a motion to compel his responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. Lopez failed, without explanation, to respond to that meet and confer letter.
- On January 29, 2007, Petitioning Creditors filed a Motion to Compel responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. Lopez failed, without explanation, to respond or file an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Opposition to that Motion.

On March 10, 2007 – a Saturday, just two days prior to the scheduled hearing on 10. Petitioning Creditors' Motion to Compel Lopez's responses to the Phase II Written Discovery – attorney Haves emailed me approximately 155 pages of documents, purportedly in response to the "First Phase II Request for Production of Documents by Petitioning Creditors." This last-minute "document dump" was improper because the documents: (1) were not responsive to the Requests, (2) were not organized by category of Request, and (3) consisted of at least 103 pages of pleadings filed in the San Diego Superior Court which are already in the Petitioning Creditors' possession. Lopez failed, without explanation, to explain the deficiencies and/or his failure to provide the agreed-upon supplemental responses.

- 11. On March 12, 2007, I appeared at the hearing during which this Court granted Petitioning Creditors' motion to compel Lopez to provide supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. The Court ordered Lopez to provide the supplemental responses on or before April 11, 2007. The Court — in open session — indicated that it was deferring its ruling on the request for monetary sanctions of \$4,242 because: (a) it wanted the risk of those sanctions to serve as a Sword of Damocles to encourage compliance with the Court's order; and (b) Lopez would have to "work his way out of those sanctions."
- On April 10, 2007, Lopez mailed my office a set of supplemental responses to the 12. Phase II Written Discovery which were patently deficient in that, i.e., they failed to fully respond to the Interrogatories asked, failed to provide facts in support of asserted denials to the Requests for Admissions, and failed to produce responsive documents. True and correct copies of Lopez's supplemental responses are attached hereto marked Exhibits D, E and F.
- 13. On May 11, 2007, the parties, through their counsel, attended a status conference wherein this Court ordered Lopez to file proper supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery on or before May 21, 2007. Later that afternoon, I met with attorney Hayes at my office regarding the deficiencies in Lopez's supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery.

See, Docket Item #93.

9

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY. SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2201 FACSMILE (619) 400-2201

18

24 25

26

27

28

Attorney Hayes requested that I set forth the deficiencies in a meet and confer letter to him.

- As requested, on May 14, 2007, I sent a meet and confer letter via email and first class United States mail to attorney Hayes which enumerated each and every deficiency in Lopez's supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. A true and correct copy of that letter is attached hereto, marked Exhibit G.
- 15. After the close of business, at 7:10 P.M., on May 21, 2007 – the deadline for Lopez to supplement his supplemental responses to the Phase II Written Discovery – attorney Hayes emailed me a request for two additional days for Lopez to supplement his responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. The next morning, on May 22, 2007, I responded to attorney Hayes' email by indicating that I would grant the requested two-day extension of time, in exchange for a stipulation providing Petitioning Creditors with an equivalent two-business-day extension of time - from May 25, 2007 to May 30, 2007 – to file any necessary discovery motion. A true and correct copy of that email correspondence is attached hereto, marked Exhibit H.
- 16. On May 23, 2007, attorney Hayes sent me an email wherein he withdrew Lopez's request for an extension of time, and notified me that Lopez would not be providing any additional responses to the Phase II Written Discovery. A true and correct copy of that email correspondence is attached hereto, marked Exhibit I.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed this 24th day of May, 2007, at San Diego. California.

TAB 105-3

EXHIBIT A

ASA 0177

DUTY TO ADMIT OR PROVIDE ACCURATE AND DETAILED RESPONSE

The fundamental purpose of the discovery rules is to ensure the "just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action." FRCP Rule 1. In light of that purpose, a party "may not view requests for admission as a mere procedural exercise requiring minimally acceptable conduct." *Marchand v. Mercy Medical Center*, 22 F.3d 933, 936 (9th Cir. 1994); *see also, In re Pizante*, 186 B.R. 484, 489 (9th Cir.BAP 1995) ("The rule governing requests for admissions was designed to reduce the burden of litigation...Rule 36 encourages admissions...").

FRCP Rule 36 requires that answers specifically deny the request or set forth in detail the reasons why the answering party cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. Denials must fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when good faith requires that a party qualify an answer or deny only part of the matter of which an admission is requested, the party shall specify so much of it as true and qualify or deny the remainder. An answering party may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless the party states that the party has made reasonable inquiry concerning the matter in the particular request, and that the information known or readily obtainable by the party is insufficient to enable the party to admit the matter.

Failure to properly respond to a request for admission in accordance with the principles set forth above may result in the matter being deemed admitted. *Asea, Inc. v. Southern Pac. Transp.*Co., 669 F.2d 1242, 1245 (9th Cir. 1982). The Ninth Circuit has explained this result as follows:

It is undisputed that failure to answer or object to a proper request for admission is itself an admission: the Rule itself so states. It is also clear that an evasive denial, one that does not "specifically deny the matter," or a response that does not set forth "in detail" the reasons why the answering party cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter, may be deemed an admission.... We hold, therefore, that a response which fails to admit or deny a proper request for admission does not comply with the requirements of Rule 36(a) if the answering party has not, in fact, made "reasonable inquiry," or if information "readily obtainable" is sufficient to enable him to admit or deny the matter. A party requesting an admission may, if he [or she] feels these requirements have not been met, move to determine the sufficiency of the answer, to compel a proper response, or to have the matter ordered admitted. (See *Asea, Inc. v. Southern Pac. Transp. Co.*, 669 F.2d at 1245 & 1247.)

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the following interrogatories upon Francis J. Lopez, and demand response under oath within thirty
(30) days from the date of service pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
("FRCP") which is made applicable to this contested matter by Rules 7036 and 9014 of the Federa
Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to each of the Document Requests and should be read and referred to in answering each of the Document Requests:

- 1. The term "BUSINESS RECORD(S)" means all writings regularly created or maintained by or on behalf of a PERSON or entity that is or was engaged in business, and includes, without limitation, a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity.
- The terms "ACCOUNT" and "ACCOUNTS" mean and refer to any deposit or 2.. credit account with a bank, credit union, or financial institutions of any description, and include, without limitation, a demand, time, checking, savings, passbook, share draft, or like account, including account evidenced by a certificate of deposit.
- 3. The term "ALL COMPUTER-STORED DATA" means any computer disks, compact discs, computer tapes, computer runs, computer printouts, computer electronic mail (Email) sent or received, and computer databases.
- 4. The term "CASH AND CARRY" means and refers to any form of transaction in which the purchaser or recipient of the goods or services which are the subject of the transaction is required to, and actually does, deliver cash or a negotiable instrument in the full amount of the price or fee charged for those goods or services, at the time that the goods are purchased or the services are rendered.
- The term "COMMUNICATION" means the transmittal of any information (in the 5. form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise) by any method, however informal, including, but not limited to, letters, facsimiles, e-mails, information transmitted at meetings, memoranda, notes. presentations, telegrams, telephone conversations and all other written, oral or electronic

transmission of information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The term "CREDIT FACILITY" means every form of agreement, written or oral. by which a PERSON or entity extends credit to YOU or one of YOUR relatives, and includes, without limitation what is commonly referred to as a "Loan," a "Line of Credit" or a "Credit Card."
- The term "DOCUMENT" means and includes all originals and any copies that 7. differ in any way from the original — of any kind of written, typewritten, printed or recorded material or computer files, DOCUMENTS or records whatsoever, regardless of the source or author thereof, including, without limitation, any writing, filed for reporting or other purposes with the federal state, or local agency, COMPUTER-STORED DATA, computer discs, computer printouts recordings, maps, plats, plans, accountings, calculations, reports, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telexes, e-mail, messages, memoranda, notes, records, summaries, reports, analyses, studies, manuals, notebooks, desk calendars, appointment books, diaries, time sheets, logs, files, binders, brochures, pamphlets, manuscripts, bulletins, circulars, drawings, charts, diagrams, facsimiles, schedules, graphs, contracts, canceled checks, booklets, bills, audited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, unaudited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, financial ledgers, stock ledgers for all forms and types of securities, minutes of directors, shareholder or committee meetings, written COMMUNICATIONS of directors and shareholders, bank checks, invoices, charge slips, hotel charges, receipts, invoices forms, abstracts, agreements, STATEMENTS, lists, deposit and withdrawal receipts, evaluations, statistics, licenses, advertisements, certificates, permits, cost sheets, expense accounts, vouchers, all other forms of correspondence, all other forms of electronic storage of data, any and all written COMMUNICATIONS, records and copies, extracts and summaries or other DOCUMENTS, and drafts of any of the above, whether used or not, or any other writings or recording as defined by the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 1001, 28 U.S.C.A., and any copies of such material if YOU do not have control or possession of the original.
- 8. The term "FINANCIAL STATEMENT" means and refers to every form of DOCUMENT, including, but not limited to a written report, which quantitatively describes the financial health, performance, or condition of a PERSON or entity. It includes, but is not limited to

ASA 0180

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENTS	that are entitled	or commonly r	eferred to as a	n income stat	tement, a l	palance she	et
a statement of c	eash flows, and a	statement of ed	quity.				

- 9. The term "IDENTIFY" when used herein means to describe the requested information to the fullest extent possible, which would include, but is not limited to, furnishing such information as would enable Plaintiff to issue a subpoena for any information, DOCUMENT, or thing that may be in the possession of any PERSON identified in Your response to that interrogatory. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
- When used in reference to a PERSON the definition includes, but is not A. limited to, a requirement to disclose the full legal name of the PERSON, all business or trade names known to have been used by the PERSON at any time, the address of the PERSON's residence (where they are a natural PERSON, or principal place of business if not), and all telephone numbers where the PERSON may be reached during usual daytime business hours;
- В. When used with reference to a DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT the definition includes, but is not limited to, the requirement to disclose all PERSONS who drafted, or contributed to the drafting of, the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT, as well as its current physical location, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS with custody of the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT; and
- C. When used with reference to a tangible thing, the definition includes, but is not limited to, the duty to disclose, any license, serial number or identifying symbols (if any exist) which identifies the thing, the address at which the thing is customarily is located, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS who have custody or control of the thing.
- D. When used with reference to an account maintained at a bank, credit union or similar financial institution includes, but is not limited to the duty to disclose the name and address of the institution, the account number (or numbers) and the dates during which the account was maintained.
- 10. The term the "INTERROGATORIES" means and refers to the "First Phase II Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors" and served concurrently herewith.
 - The term "MADELEINE LOPEZ" means and refers to an individual believed to be 11.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- YOUR spouse at all times from the period commencing January 1, 2002, through and including the present.
 - The term "NOVEON" means and refers to Noveon Systems, Inc., a corporation. 12.
- 13. The term "ON CREDIT" refers to any transaction or agreement where YOU or one of YOUR relatives receives goods or services on any terms other than "CASH AND CARRY."
- 14. The term "PERSON" means any individual (natural PERSON), corporation. organization, association, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, firm, joint venture, trust, governmental body, agency, governing board, department or division, or any other entity.
- the term "PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS" means all writings regularly 15. created by or on behalf of YOU to memorialize and/or reflect the status of any account that YOU had with a PERSON or entity that provided YOU with a CREDIT FACILITY, or provided YOU or YOUR family with goods or services ON CREDIT, and includes without limitation a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinion or diagnoses that are kept in the course of regularly conducted PERSONal, household, or family activity.
- 16. The term "PRISM RECEIVERSHIP" means and refers to the receivership created in that certain civil action that was pending before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Diego, North County Branch, identified as PRISM and PRISM ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC., v. ALAN STANLY (Case No. GIN 028765) based upon the "Stipulation regarding appointment of Richard M Kipperman as receiver," and the order approving and adopting it which was entered on April 22, 2003.
- 17. The terms "RELATED TO" and "RELATING TO" mean constituting, evidencing. defining, containing, describing, concerning, discussing, embodying, reflecting, analyzing, stating, referring to, or dealing with.
- The term "RELATIVE" means any individual related by affinity or consanguinity 18. within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity as determined by common law or any individual in a step or adoptive relationship within such third degree.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	19.	The term the "	REQUESTS	FOR AD	MISSION'	' means	and refers t	o the "Fir	st
Phase	II Requ	ests for Admissi	ion Propound	ded by Pet	itioning C	reditors'	and served	l concurre	ently
herew	ith.								

- 20. The term the "RESIDENCE" means and refers to that single family dwelling commonly known as 310 Sand Myrtle Trail, Destin, Florida.
- 21. The term the "STANLY JUDGMENT" means and refers to that judgment in favor of Petitioning Creditor Alan Stanly against Francis J. Lopez in the principal amount of \$50,000, in the case of UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA N.A. v. STANLY and LOPEZ (GIN 030827) entered by the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of San Diego, on or about September 20, 2004.
 - 22. The terms "YOU" and "YOUR" mean and refer to Francis J. Lopez.
- 23. The term "YOU OWED" when used in connection with a particular creditor means and refers to both amounts YOU acknowledge were owed, and amounts which were claimed by the creditor but which YOU either affirmatively dispute as to liability or amount, or are uncertain as to liability or amount.
- 24. The phrase "PAYMENTS THAT YOU MADE," or words of similar import include all payments that YOU personally made or caused to be made, and all payments that were made by any other person or entity.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. YOUR written response to each request shall first IDENTIFY and quote the request in full. Upon request, YOU will be electronically provided with a copy of these requests in their Word Perfect form to facilitate your compliance with this requirement.

REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

Request for Admission No. 1.:

Admit that you received no cash payments from NOVEON between January 1, 2005, and July 1, 2005.

Request for Admission No. 2.:

Admit that YOU were not employed by any PERSON or entity other than NOVEON.

1	between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Request for Admission No. 3.:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Admit that YOU were not engaged as an independent contractor for any PERSON or entity other than NOVEON between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Request for Admission No. 4.:

Admit that YOU had no contractual relationship of any kind that entitled YOU to receive remuneration of any kind based upon services that YOU rendered at any time between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Request for Admission No. 5.:

Admit that with respect to the STANLY JUDGMENT, YOU never requested a stay of enforcement pending YOUR appeal of that judgment.

Request for Admission No. 6.:

Admit that at some time after June 14, 2004, and before June 14, 2005, the RESIDENCE was refinanced.

Request for Admission No. 7.:

Admit that YOU authorized Joseph Fischbach, as your attorney, to sign the stipulation that caused Richard M Kipperman to be appointed as the receiver of Prism, in the PRISM

RECEIVERSHIP, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Request for Admission No. 8.:

Admit that YOU have not paid any of the fees that were awarded to Richard M Kipperman on account of services rendered and costs advanced in the PRISM RECEIVERSHIP.

22 Request for Admission No. 9.:

Admit that YOU have filed no objection to any of the fees requested by Richard M Kipperman on account of services rendered and costs he has advanced in connection with the PRISM RECEIVERSHIP.

26 /////

27 /////

28 | /////

Request	for	Adn	iis	sior	ı No.	10.:
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						

Admit that YOU signed as a guarantor on the obligations that Prism Advanced Technologies, Inc. ("Prism"), incurred under agreements "Equipment Lease Agreement" by and between Herman Miller Capital as lessor and Prism Advanced Technologies as lessee.

Dated: 11-3-06

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation

By:

L. Scott Keehn Attorneys for

Petitioning Creditors

EXHIBIT 1

017.216527.

CIKLASA

017,216527.

STIPULATION
CASE NO. GIV 028765

From: WEINTRAUBDILLON PC

858 259 2868

04/^^"2UUS 13:34 #299 P.002/002

,						
1	Receiver, as determined by the Court, which shall include, but not be limited to the rights					
2	set forth below;					
3	4. That Kipperman's compensation shall be \$325.00 per hour and any					
4	requirement of a bond shall be waived.					
5	5. Counterpart signatures by facsimile are acceptable.					
б	Date: Foley & Lardner					
7						
8	By: Andrew Serwin					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
10						
11	Weintraub & Dillon					
12	111					
13	By: Timgthy Dillon					
14	Nadya Špivack Attorneys for Defendants					
1.5	Fischbach & Fischbach					
16 17	1 ISOHOACII & I ISOHOACII					
18	By: Joseph Fischbach					
19	Attorneys for Francis Lopez					
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
21	Receiver Kipperman is hereby appointed as the receiver of Plaintiffs, Prism and					
22	Prism Advanced Technologies, Inc. (collectively the "Plaintiffs"), with all the powers,					
23	rights, duties and obligations set forth below and that his compensation shall be \$325.00					
24	per hour and any requirement of a bond shall be waived.					
25	IT IS HERBBY FURTHER ORDERED that upon the filing of the oath, the					
26	receiver shall be authorized and empowered to:					
27	1. Take possession and continue in possession of all Plaintiffs' business,					
28	together with all personal property of the Plaintiffs, INCLUDING BUT NOT					
() مند						

LIMITED TO inventory, fixtures, goods, documents, patents, trademarks, software, copyrights, books, records, papers and accounts of the businesses relating thereto (collectively the "Business Property");

- 2. Execute and file a receiver's oath;
- 3. After qualifying, the receiver shall take possession of and manage the Business Property, shall collect the income from the Business Property, shall care for the Business Property and may incur the expenses necessary for that care, and may change the lock on the business premises;
- 4. Within 30 days after taking possession of Plaintiff's property subject to this order, the receiver shall file an inventory itemizing all personal property of the Plaintiffs which he has taken possession of and shall promptly file supplemental inventories of any personal property of the Plaintiffs consequently coming into the receivership estate;
- 5. The receiver shall not enter into an agreement with any party to this stipulation about the administration of the receivership or about any post receivership matter without the express written consent of all parties hereto;
- 6. The receiver shall expend money coming into his possession to operate and preserve the Business Property and only for the purposes authorized in this order. Unless the Court orders otherwise, the receiver shall to the extent practical hold the balance in interest-bearing accounts in accordance with Cal. Civ. Proc. §569.
- 7. Subject to further orders of this Court, the receiver is appointed for the purpose of conducting the business of Plaintiffs.
- 8. The receiver is not required to incur any ongoing expenses necessary in such operation, management and control in the ordinary and usual course of business. The receiver shall not be required to do anything to incur the risks and obligations ordinarily incurred by owners, managers and operators of similar properties and no such risks or obligations so incurred shall be the personal risk or obligation of the receiver. If any such risk or obligation is incurred, then it shall only be the risk or obligation of the receivership estate;

7

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21. 22

23

24

. 25

26

27

28

- 9. . Collect monies from or liquidate appropriate contracts for the businesses and maintenance of the subject businesses;
- Employ agents and employees as the receiver believes, in his business 10. judgment, necessary to carry out his duties as the receiver and to pay said agents and employees at ordinary and usual rates and prices pursuant to appropriate contracts out of the funds that shall come into his possession as receiver;
- Rent/lease from time to time any part of the subject businesses, provided 11. however any new or rollover leases or tenant improvements are to be pre-approved in writing by the Court;
- The receiver may establish accounts at any financial institutions insured by 12. an agency of the United States government that are not parties to this proceeding, deposit into those accounts funds received in connection with the Business Property and shall deposit in interest-bearing accounts money not expended for receivership purposes;
- The receiver and the parties hereto may, at any time, apply to this Court for further instructions and orders and for additional powers necessary to enable the receiver to perform the receiver's duties property:
- Collect and receive all rents, subrents, earnings, income issues, profits, 14. revenues and royalties from the Business Property:
- Employ appropriate professionals, subject to further court order, to 15. prosecute all actions for the collection of rents or monies due to subject businesses, for the removal of tenants in default or third parties in default on monies due subject businesses and to recover possessions thereof:
- Subject to further court order, institute and prosecute all suits as may 16. reasonably be necessary in the judgment of the receiver to protect the subject businesses and to defend all such suits and actions as may be instituted against him as a receiver;
- Obtain and pay a reasonable price for lawful licenses and to the extent 17. permitted by law exercise privileges of any existing license issued in connection with the subject property or any business transacted thereon until further order of the court and to

5 6

8 9

7

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 do all things necessary to protect and maintain said licenses;

- Provide at a minimum, monthly reports or at Kipperman's discretion should exigent circumstances arise, Kipperman may issue weekly reports to all parties of all funds, information and records obtained by receiver;
- Maintain adequate insurance over the subject business to the same extent 19. and in the same manner as it previously has been insured or as in the judgment of the receiver as may seem fit and proper and to cause all presently existing policies to be amended by adding himself and the receivership estate as an additional insured. To the extent there is inadequate insurance and/or insufficient funds in the receivership estate to procure adequate insurance, the receiver is directed to immediately petition the court for During the period in which the subject businesses are uninsured or instructions. underinsured, the receiver shall not be personally responsible for any claims arising therefore;
- Prepare and deliver to all parties an initial and then, at a minimum monthly 2.0. interim statements, or at Kipperman's discretion should exigent circumstances arise. Kipperman may issue weekly interim statements of monies received and disbursed and of the receiver's ordinary fees and expenses, including those of his employees and courtapproved professionals, which statements shall be accompanied by notice which advises parties that any objections thereto must be made in writing within 10 days. Upon completion of an interim statement and mailing such statements to the parties respective attorneys of record or any other designated person or agent, the receiver may pay from receivership estate funds, if any, the amount of said statement owed to the receiver. Despite the periodic payment of receiver's fees and administrative expenses, said fees and expenses shall be submitted to the court for its approval and confirmation in the form of either a noticed interim request for fees, a stipulation among the parties or the receiver's final account and report;
- In addition to all of the powers set forth herein, the receiver is hereby 21. vested with all of the general powers of receivers in cases of this kind, subject to the

6

9

13

14

12

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

> 24 25

26 27

28

direction of this court and the receiver shall from time to time file with the court detailed reports and accountings pertaining to the contact of the receivership and all monies received and expended by the receiver or its agents; and

Receiver may generally do such other things as are necessarily incidental to 22. these foregoing specific powers, directions and general authority and to take actions relating to the subject businesses provided that the receiver obtains prior court approval for any actions beyond the scope contemplated herein.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs, AND Defendant and each of their respective agents, partners, managers, attorneys, employees, assignees, successors, representatives and all persons acting under, in concert with or for them:

- Shall relinquish and immediately turnover possession of all business Α. PROPERTY to the receiver upon service of this order;
- Shall turn over to the receiver and direct all managers, employees and other third parties in possession thereof to turn over all keys, leases, books, records. books of account, ledgers, operating statements, budgets, real estate tax bills, phone records, cell phone records, credit card statements, and all other business records relating to the subject businesses, wherever located, and in whatever mode maintained, including information contained on computers and any and all software relating thereto, as well as all banking records, statements and canceled checks:
- Shall turn over to the receiver all documents which pertain to all C. licenses, permits or government approvals relating to the subject businesses and shall immediately advise the receiver of its federal tax payer identification number used in connection with the operation of the subject businesses;
- Shall immediately advise the receiver as to the nature and extent of D. insurance coverage for the subject businesses. The parties hereto shall immediately name the receiver as an additional insured on the insurance policies for the period that receiver shall be in possession of the property. The parties hereto are prohibited from canceling. reducing or modifying any and all insurance coverage currently in existence with respect

4 5

3

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2.1 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

to the subject businesses; and

The parties hereto, and each of them, and their respective agents, E. servants, employees, attorneys, partners and all other persons in concert with them, shall immediately turn over as of the date of this order to the receiver any monies (including, but not limited to, security deposits, prepaid rent, or funds in management bank accounts for the subject businesses) which represent rental or lease payments with respect to the business property, which are received, or have been received, by the parties hereto in possession of all records, books of account, ledgers and all other documents and papers pertaining to the operation of their property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pending further order of this Court, Plaintiffs, Defendant and Francis Lopez and their agents, partners, property managers, attorneys, and employees and all other persons acting in concert with them who have actual or constructive knowledge of this order, and their agents and employees:

- Shall not commit or permit any waste on the subject business or any Α. part thereof, or suffer or commit or permit any waste on subject business, or any part thereof, or suffer or commit or permit any act on subject business or property, or any part thereof, in violation of law, or remove, transfer, encumber or otherwise dispose of any of property;
- Shall not demand, collect, receive, discount or in any other way В. divert or use any of the income of the business or the businesses' property;
- Shall not directly/indirectly interfere in any manner with the C. discharge of receiver's duties under this order or receiver's possession of an operation or management of the subject businesses or business properties;
- D. Shall not expend, disburse, transfer, assign, sell, convey, devise, pledge, mortgage, create a security interest in, encumber, conceal or in any manner whatsoever deal in or dispose of all or part of subject business or property, including but not limited to, business income, rents, or other monies due to, either now or in the future, the business, without court order;

E. Shall not do any act which will, or may, impair, defeat, divert, prevent or prejudice preservation of the business, including accounts receivable, rents, or any monies due now or in the future, or the preservation of judgments creditor's interest in the subject businesses or properties and the businesses' income; and

No third party may terminate service to the defendant or its property as a result of the non-payment of pre-receivership obligations without prior order of this court.

IT IS SO ORDERED

JUDGESAN PIEGO SUPERIOR COURT

017,216527.

STIPULATION CASE NO. GIN 028765

EXHIBIT B

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

27

28

1 L. Scott Keehn (61691) KEEHN & ASSOCIÁTES 2 A Professional Corporation 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1210 3 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-2200 4 Attorneys for **Petitioning Creditors** 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 9 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In Re: Case No. 05-05926-PBINV 12 FRANCIS J. LOPEZ Involuntary Chapter 7 13 Alleged Debtor FIRST PHASE II REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY 14 PETITIONING CREDITORS. 15 [No Hearing Required] 16 Judge: Hon. Peter W. Bowie 17 18 PROPOUNDING PARTY: PETITIONING CREDITORS RESPONDING PARTY: 19 FRANCIS J. LOPEZ 20 SET NO.: ONE (1)/ PHASE II 21 TO FRANCIS J. LOPEZ AND HIS ATTORNEY OF RECORD: 22 YOU ARE HEREBY REQUESTED BY THE PETITIONING CREDITORS HEREIN ("PETITIONING CREDITORS") AND REQUIRED, pursuant to Federal Rule of 23 Civil Procedure 34 (made applicable to this proceeding by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 24 7034), to produce for inspection and copying the documents and/or tangible things identified 25 below, and are further required to serve a written response hereto within thirty (30) days after 26

service of this document. Petitioning Creditors requests that all responsive documents and/or

tangible things be produced for inspection and copying at a time and place mutually convenient for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the parties and their counsel. In the alternative, Petitioning Creditors request that true and correct copies of the requested documents and/or tangible things be delivered to the offices of Keehn & Associates, APC, 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210, San Diego, California 92101 (Attn: L. Scott Keehn), and Petitioning Creditors hereby agree in advance to pay for the actual documented copying and mailing costs incurred.

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to each of the Document Requests and should be read and referred to in answering each of the Document Requests:

- 1. The term "BUSINESS RECORD(S)" means all writings regularly created or maintained by or on behalf of a PERSON or entity that is or was engaged in business, and includes, without limitation, a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity.
- 2. The terms "ACCOUNT" and "ACCOUNTS" mean and refer to any deposit or credit account with a bank, credit union, or financial institutions of any description, and include, without limitation, a demand, time, checking, savings, passbook, share draft, or like account, including account evidenced by a certificate of deposit.
- 3. The term "ALL COMPUTER-STORED DATA" means any computer disks, compact discs, computer tapes, computer runs, computer printouts, computer electronic mail (Email) sent or received, and computer databases.
- 4. The term "CASH AND CARRY" means and refers to any form of transaction in which the purchaser or recipient of the goods or services which are the subject of the transaction is required to, and actually does, deliver cash or a negotiable instrument in the full amount of the price or fee charged for those goods or services, at the time that the goods are purchased or the services are rendered.
- 5. The term "COMMUNICATION" means the transmittal of any information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise) by any method, however informal, including, but not limited to, letters, facsimiles, e-mails, information transmitted at meetings, memoranda, notes,

7.

2

3

1

4 5

7 8

9

6

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

> 17 18

> > 19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

presentations, telegrams, telephone conversations and all other written, oral or electronic transmission of information.

- 6. The term "CREDIT FACILITY" means every form of agreement, written or oral, by which a PERSON or entity extends credit to YOU or one of YOUR relatives, and includes, without limitation what is commonly referred to as a "Loan," a "Line of Credit" or a "Credit Card."
- The term "DOCUMENT" means and includes all originals and any copies that differ in any way from the original — of any kind of written, typewritten, printed or recorded material or computer files, DOCUMENTS or records whatsoever, regardless of the source or author thereof, including, without limitation, any writing, filed for reporting or other purposes with the federal state, or local agency, COMPUTER-STORED DATA, computer discs, computer printouts recordings, maps, plats, plans, accountings, calculations, reports, letters, correspondence. telegrams, cables, telexes, e-mail, messages, memoranda, notes, records, summaries, reports, analyses, studies, manuals, notebooks, desk calendars, appointment books, diaries, time sheets, logs, files, binders, brochures, pamphlets, manuscripts, bulletins, circulars, drawings, charts, diagrams, facsimiles, schedules, graphs, contracts, canceled checks, booklets, bills, audited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, unaudited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, financial ledgers, stock ledgers for all forms and types of securities, minutes of directors, shareholder or committee meetings, written COMMUNICATIONS of directors and shareholders, bank checks, invoices, charge slips, hotel charges, receipts, invoices forms, abstracts, agreements, STATEMENTS, lists, deposit and withdrawal receipts, evaluations, statistics, licenses, advertisements, certificates, permits, cost sheets, expense accounts, vouchers, all other forms of correspondence, all other forms of electronic storage of data, any and all written COMMUNICATIONS, records and copies, extracts and summaries or other DOCUMENTS, and drafts of any of the above, whether used or not, or any other writings or recording as defined by the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 1001, 28 U.S.C.A., and any copies of such material if you do not have control or possession of the original.
- 8. The term "FINANCIAL STATEMENT" means and refers to every form of DOCUMENT, including, but not limited to a written report, which quantitatively describes the financial health, performance, or condition of a PERSON or entity. It includes, but is not limited to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

DOCUMENTS that are entitled or commonly referred to as an income statement, a balance sheet. a statement of cash flows, and a statement of equity.

- 9. The term "IDENTIFY" when used herein means to describe the requested information to the fullest extent possible, which would include, but is not limited to, furnishing such information as would enable Plaintiff to issue a subpoena for any information, DOCUMENT, or thing that may be in the possession of any PERSON identified in Your response to that interrogatory. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
- A. When used in reference to a PERSON the definition includes, but is not limited to, a requirement to disclose the full legal name of the PERSON, all business or trade names known to have been used by the PERSON at any time, the address of the PERSON's residence (where they are a natural PERSON, or principal place of business if not), and all telephone numbers where the PERSON may be reached during usual daytime business hours;
- B. When used with reference to a DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT the definition includes, but is not limited to, the requirement to disclose all PERSONS who drafted, or contributed to the drafting of, the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT, as well as its current physical location, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS with custody of the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT; and
- C. When used with reference to a tangible thing, the definition includes, but is not limited to, the duty to disclose, any license, serial number or identifying symbols (if any exist) which identifies the thing, the address at which the thing is customarily is located, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS who have custody or control of the thing.
- D. When used with reference to an account maintained at a bank, credit union or similar financial institution includes, but is not limited to the duty to disclose the name and address of the institution, the account number (or numbers) and the dates during which the account was maintained.
- 10. The term the "INTERROGATORIES" means and refers to the "First Phase II Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors" and served concurrently herewith. 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 11. The term "ON CREDIT" refers to any transaction or agreement where YOU or one of YOUR relatives receives goods or services on any terms other than "CASH AND CARRY."
- 12. The term "PERSON" means any individual (natural PERSON), corporation, organization, association, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, firm, joint venture, trust, governmental body, agency, governing board, department or division, or any other entity.
- the term "PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS" means all writings regularly 13. created by or on behalf of YOU to memorialize and/or reflect the status of any account that YOU had with a PERSON or entity that provided YOU with a CREDIT FACILITY, or provided YOU or YOUR family with goods or services ON CREDIT, and includes without limitation a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinion or diagnoses that are kept in the course of regularly conducted personal, household, or family activity.
- The terms "RELATED TO" and "RELATING TO" mean constituting, evidencing, 14. defining, containing, describing, concerning, discussing, embodying, reflecting, analyzing, stating, referring to, or dealing with.
- The term "RELATIVE" means any individual related by affinity or consanguinity 15. within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity as determined by common law or any individual in a step or adoptive relationship within such third degree.
- 16. The term the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION" means and refers to the "First Phase II Requests for Admission Propounded by Petitioning Creditors" and served concurrently herewith.
- 17. The term the "RESIDENCE" means and refers to that single family dwelling commonly known as 310 Sand Myrtle Trail, Destin, Florida.
 - 18. The terms "YOU" and "YOUR" mean and refer to Francis J. Lopez.

27 ///

///

28 ///

KEEHIN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

- The term "YOU OWED" when used in connection with a particular creditor means 19. and refers to both amounts YOU acknowledge were owed, and amounts which were claimed by the creditor but which YOU either affirmatively dispute as to liability or amount, or are uncertain as to liability or amount.
- The phrase "PAYMENTS THAT YOU MADE," or words of similar import include 20. all payments that YOU personally made or caused to be made, and all payments that were made by any other person or entity.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. This document request covers all DOCUMENTS in YOUR possession, custody, or control and the possession, custody, and control of YOUR agents, relatives, employees, independent contractors, attorneys, accountants, or representatives, wherever located.
- 2. If YOU object to any request herein, YOU are required to state the precise basis for the objection. If YOU object to only part of a request, YOU are required to respond to those portions of a request to which YOU do not object and to state the precise reasons of the objection.
- Each DOCUMENT is to be produced in the file in which such DOCUMENT has 3. been maintained in the order within each file in which such DOCUMENT has been maintained.
- If any DOCUMENT exists in the memory of a computer or other device used to record or store information digitally, electronically, magnetically, or otherwise, a hard copy of such DOCUMENT should be created and produced in response to this Document Request. If more than one copy of any such DOCUMENT exists, and if these copies are not identical (e.g., as a result of handwritten additions, notations, and the like), each copy is a separate DOCUMENT and should be separately produced.
- If any DOCUMENT is responsive to more than one of the categories requested, it 5. need only be produced in response to the first applicable category.
- 6. If any DOCUMENTS are withheld on grounds that they are protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or otherwise, YOU are required to produce contemporaneously a list of such DOCUMENTS identifying the grounds for withholding them from production and describing the DOCUMENTS with sufficient specificity as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to permit the Court to rule upon the claim of privilege or protection from discovery which description shall include:

- the name, business affiliation, business address, telephone number and (a) business capacity or title of the PERSON or PERSONS who prepared it and the PERSON or PERSONS who signed it;
- (b) the name, business affiliation, business address, telephone number, and business capacity or title of each PERSON to whom it was addressed;
 - (c) the date the DOCUMENT was prepared:
 - (d) the date the DOCUMENT was received:
- the nature and substance of the DOCUMENT with sufficient particularity to (e) enable the same to be identified, including without limitation, the title, subject matter, and number of pages; and
- the name, business affiliation, business address, and telephone number of (f) each PERSON who presently has possession, control, or custody of the original or a copy of the DOCUMENT.
- If any DOCUMENTS whose production is requested no longer exists or cannot be 7. located, YOU shall set forth in a written response a complete statement of all circumstances surrounding the destruction, loss or disappearance of each such document, and such statement shall also include: author(s), addressee(s), indicated or blind copy recipient(s), date, subject matter, date of disposal, reason for disposal, PERSON authorizing the disposal, PERSON disposing of the DOCUMENT, and the DOCUMENT's last known location.
- 8. As used herein, the conjunctions "and" and "or" shall not be interpreted to exclude any information otherwise within the scope of this document request.
- 9. This Document Request is to be regarded as continuing pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e), made applicable to this proceeding by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7026. YOU are required to provide, by way of supplementary responses hereto, such additional information as may be obtained by YOU or any PERSON acting on YOUR behalf that will augment or modify YOUR answers given to the Document Request. Such supplementary

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

responses are to be served upon the undersigned counsel fo PETITIONING CREDITORS within twenty-one (21) days from discovery of the need for supplementation but not later than thirty (30) days before the date of the trial.

- Special Optional Response re Uncontested Creditors. With respect to any of the 10. categories requested that apply to a specific creditor, if YOU admit that as of June 30, 2005, YOU were not paying the obligations that YOU OWED to that creditor as they came due; then YOU may, but are not required to, satisfy YOUR obligations under this request by indicating by making the following written response to the relevant category (categories): "Not applicable. The Alleged Debtor admits that as of June 30, 2005, he was not paying his obligations owed to the subject creditor as they came due."; and YOUR written response is verified under penalty of perjury. YOUR obligation to produce documents pursuant to this request is not affected in any way with respect to any category as to which the special response is not made.
- 11. YOUR written response to each category shall first IDENTIFY and quote the category in full. Upon request, YOU will be electronically provided with a copy of these requests in their Word Perfect form to facilitate your compliance with this requirement.

DOCUMENTS REQUEST

Category No. 1.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, reflect, or refer to the mortgage to Lehman Brothers Bank, secured by the Residence.

Category No. 2.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, reflect, or refer to any and all payments to Lehman Brothers Bank at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 3.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Lehman Brothers Bank on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005. Category No. 4.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Lehman

	Brothers Bank on any account
ĺ	

Category No. 5.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Frederick Lopez on any account, including, but not limited to, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 6.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Frederick Lopez on any account.

Category No. 7.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Allstate Floridian on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 8.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, reflect, or refer to any and all payments to Allstate Floridian at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 9.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to American Express on any account, including, but not limited to, account number 378394802283007, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 10.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to American Express on any account, including, but not limited to, account number 378394802283007.

Category No. 11.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to American Home Shield on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005. 111

Category No. 12.:

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to American Home Shield on any account.

Category No. 13.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Bank of America on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 14.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Bank of America on any account.

Category No. 15.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Bank Card Services on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 16.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Bank Card Services on any account.

Category No. 17.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Cingular on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 18.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Cingular on any account.

Category No. 19.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Citicard on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 20.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Citicard on any account.

Category No. 21.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Coastal Community Insurance on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005. Category No. 22.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Coastal Community Insurance on any account.

Category No. 23.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Cox Communications on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 24.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Cox Communications on any account.

Category No. 25.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Fort Walton Beach Medical Center on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 26.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Fort Walton Beach Medical Center on any account.

Category No. 27.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to

Household Bank on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 28.:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

///

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Household Bank on any account.

Category No. 29.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Kelley Plantation Owner's Association on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 30.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Kelley Plantation Owner's Association on any account.

Category No. 31.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005. Category No. 32.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News on any account.

Category No. 33.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 34.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District on any account.

Category	No.	35.:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Progressive Insurance on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 36.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Progressive Insurance on any account.

Category No. 37.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Citibank-Quicken Platinum Card on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 38.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Citibank-Quicken Platinum Card on any account.

Category No. 39.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Texaco on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 40.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Valley forge Life Insurance on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 41.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Valley Forge Life Insurance on any account.

Category No. 42.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Texaco

on	any	account.
----	-----	----------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Category No. 43.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Verizon on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 44.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Verizon on any account.

Category No. 45.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Wayne Wise on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 46.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Wayne Wise on any account.

Category No. 47.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to Union Bank of California on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 48.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to Union Bank of California on any account.

Category No. 49.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any settlement agreement made between YOU on the one hand, and Union Bank of California on the other hand, to settle claims held by Union Bank of California against YOU.

Category No. 50.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to

attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith o	n any account, at any time from January	1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.
---------------------------------	---	----------------------------

Category No. 51.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith on any account.

Category No. 52.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate or refer to amounts that YOU OWED to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill on any account, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 53.:

Any and all documents that memorialize, relate, or refer to any payments that YOU made between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005, on account of any debts that YOU OWED to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill on any account.

Category No. 54.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of American Express.

Category No. 55.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to American Express.

Category No. 56.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Lehman Brothers Bank. Category No. 57.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Lehman Brothers Bank.

Category No. 58.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Frederick Lopez.

Category	No	50 .
Catogory	TIO.	J)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Frederick Lopez.

Category No. 60.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Allstate Floridian.

Category No. 61.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Allstate Floridian.

Category No. 62.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of American Home Shield. Category No. 63.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to American Home Shield.

Category No. 64.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Bank of America.

Category No. 65.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Bank of America.

Category No. 66.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Bank Card Services Category No. 67.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Bank Card Services.

28 111

Category No. 68.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Cingular.

Category No. 69.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Cingular.

Category No. 70.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Citicard.

Category No. 71.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Citicard.

Category No. 72.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Coastal Community Insurance.

Category No. 73.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Coastal Community Insurance.

Category No. 74.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Cox COMMUNICATIONS.

Category No. 75.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Cox COMMUNICATIONS.

Category No. 76.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time

between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR	response, by or o	on behalf of Fort	Walton Beach
Medical Center.			

Category No. 77.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Fort Walton Beach Medical Center.

Category No. 78.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Household Bank. Category No. 79.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Household Bank.

Category No. 80.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Kelley Plantation Owner's Association.

Category No. 81.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Kelley Plantation Owner's Association. Category No. 82.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Northwest Florida Daily News.

Category No. 83.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Northwest Florida Daily News.

Category No. 84.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Okaloosa Gas District.

Category No. 85.:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Okaloosa Gas District.

Category No. 86.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Progressive Insurance.

Category No. 87.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Progressive Insurance.

Category No. 88.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card.

Category No. 89.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card.

Category No. 90.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Texaco.

Category No. 91.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Texaco.

Category No. 92.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Valley Forge Life Insurance.

Category No. 93.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time

between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Valley Forge Life Insurance.

Category No. 94.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Verizon.

Category No. 95.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Verizon.

Category No. 96.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Wayne Wise.

Category No. 97.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Wayne Wise.

Category No. 98.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of Union Bank of California.

Category No. 99.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Union Bank of California.

Category No. 100.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith.

Category No. 101.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith.

28 ///

Cataana	'AT.	1.02	
Category	INO.	11077	•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent to YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, by or on behalf of attorney Thomas B. Gorrill.

Category No. 103.:

Any and all documents reflecting any and all COMMUNICATIONS sent by YOU at any time between January 1, 2005, and the date of YOUR response, to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill.

Category No. 104.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Lehman Brothers Bank at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 105.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Lehman Brothers Bank at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 106.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Frederick Lopez at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 107.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Frederick Lopez at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 108.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Allstate Floridian at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 109.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Allstate Floridian at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 110.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to American Express at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 111.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to American Express at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 112.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to American Home Shield at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 113.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to American Home Shield at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 114.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Bank of America at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 115.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Bank of America at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Cate	gory No.	. 116.:

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Bank Card Services at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 117.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Bank Card Services at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 118.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Cingular at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 119.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Cingular at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 120.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Citicard at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 121.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Citicard at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 122.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Coastal Community Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 123.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize

or refer obligations YOU OWED to Coastal Community Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 124.:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Cox Communications at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 125.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Cox Communications at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 126.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Ft. Walton Beach Medical Center at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 127.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Ft. Walton Beach Medical Center at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 128.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Household Bank at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 129.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Household Bank at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 130.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that

memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Kelly Plantation Owners Association at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 131.:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Kelly Plantation Owners Association at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 132.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 133.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 134.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 135.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 136.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Progressive Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 137.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize

or refer obligations YOU OWED to Progressive Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to Ju	aly
31, 2005.	

Category No. 138.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 139.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 140.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Texaco at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 141.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Texaco at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 142.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Valley Forge Life Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 143.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Valley Forge Life Insurance at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 144.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Verizon at any time from January 1,

2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 145.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Verizon at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 146.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Wayne Wise at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 147.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Wayne Wise at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005. Category No. 148.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to Union Bank of California at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 149.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to Union Bank of California at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 150.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 151.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

111

Category	No.	152.:
----------	-----	-------

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are BUSINESS RECORDS in YOUR custody or control that memorialize or are related to obligations that YOU OWED to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 153.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS that memorialize or refer obligations YOU OWED to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Category No. 154.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are identified or referred to in any of your responses to the INTERROGATORIES.

Category No. 155.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are identified or referred to in any of your responses to the REQUEST FOR ADMISSION.

Category No. 156.:

Any and all bank statements, statement of account, or similar DOCUMENTS, with respect to the status of any ACCOUNT identified in your responses to any of the INTERROGATORIES, that reflect activity or status of such accounts at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 157.:

Any and all bank statements, statement of account, or similar DOCUMENTS, with respect to the status of any ACCOUNT identified in your responses to any of the REQUEST FOR ADMISSION. that reflect activity or status of such accounts at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 158.:

Copies of any and all checks written on any of the ACCOUNTS identified or referred to in any of your responses to the INTERROGATORIES, that are dated at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 159.:

Copies of any and all checks written on any of the ACCOUNTS identified or referred to in any

of your responses to the INTERROGATORIES, that are dated at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 160.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any and all FINANCIAL STATEMENTS that purport to reflect either your financial condition, or the results of income received and expenses paid during any period which includes January 1, 2005.

Category No. 161.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that are part of any loan application that YOU made, or signed and delivered to any PERSON or entity at any time from January 1, 2005, through July 31, 2005.

Category No. 162.:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that memorialize, RELATE TO or REFER TO any civil, administrative, or criminal proceedings pending before any tribunal, arbitrator, or mediator at any time between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Dated: 11-3-04

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation

By:

Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors

EXHIBIT C

Document 11-4

Filed 08/08/2008

Page 82 of 182

Case 3:08-cv-00713-JAH-BLM

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY. SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO, CALFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

2 3

4 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 · FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

18 19

> 20 21

22

23 24

25

27

28

26

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to each of the Document Requests and should be read and referred to in answering each of the Document Requests:

- The term "BUSINESS RECORD(S)" means all writings regularly created or maintained by or on behalf of a PERSON or entity that is or was engaged in business, and includes, without limitation, a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity.
- 2. The terms "ACCOUNT" and "ACCOUNTS" mean and refer to any deposit or credit account with a bank, credit union, or financial institutions of any description, and include. without limitation, a demand, time, checking, savings, passbook, share draft, or like account, including account evidenced by a certificate of deposit.
- 3. The term "ALL COMPUTER-STORED DATA" means any computer disks. compact discs, computer tapes, computer runs, computer printouts, computer electronic mail (Email) sent or received, and computer databases.
- The term "CASH AND CARRY" means and refers to any form of transaction in which the purchaser or recipient of the goods or services which are the subject of the transaction is required to, and actually does, deliver cash or a negotiable instrument in the full amount of the price or fee charged for those goods or services, at the time that the goods are purchased or the services are rendered.
- 5. The term "COMMUNICATION" means the transmittal of any information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise) by any method, however informal, including, but not limited to, letters, facsimiles, e-mails, information transmitted at meetings, memoranda, notes, presentations, telegrams, telephone conversations and all other written, oral or electronic transmission of information.
- The term "CREDIT FACILITY" means every form of agreement, written or oral, 6. by which a PERSON or entity extends credit to YOU or one of YOUR relatives, and includes, without limitation what is commonly referred to as a "Loan," a "Line of Credit" or a "Credit Card."

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 · FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

- The term "DOCUMENT" means and includes all originals and any copies that 7. differ in any way from the original — of any kind of written, typewritten, printed or recorded material or computer files, DOCUMENTS or records whatsoever, regardless of the source or author thereof, including, without limitation, any writing, filed for reporting or other purposes with the federal state, or local agency, COMPUTER-STORED DATA, computer discs, computer printouts recordings, maps, plats, plans, accountings, calculations, reports, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telexes, e-mail, messages, memoranda, notes, records, summaries, reports, analyses, studies, manuals, notebooks, desk calendars, appointment books, diaries, time sheets, logs, files, binders, brochures, pamphlets, manuscripts, bulletins, circulars, drawings, charts, diagrams, facsimiles, schedules, graphs, contracts, canceled checks, booklets, bills, audited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, unaudited FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, financial ledgers, stock ledgers for all forms and types of securities, minutes of directors, shareholder or committee meetings, written COMMUNICATIONS of directors and shareholders, bank checks, invoices, charge slips, hotel charges, receipts, invoices forms, abstracts, agreements, STATEMENTS, lists, deposit and withdrawal receipts, evaluations, statistics, licenses, advertisements, certificates, permits, cost sheets, expense accounts, vouchers, all other forms of correspondence, all other forms of electronic storage of data, any and all written COMMUNICATIONS, records and copies, extracts and summaries or other DOCUMENTS, and drafts of any of the above, whether used or not, or any other writings or recording as defined by the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 1001, 28 U.S.C.A., and any copies of such material if YOU do not have control or possession of the original.
- 8. The term "FINANCIAL STATEMENT" means and refers to every form of DOCUMENT, including, but not limited to a written report, which quantitatively describes the financial health, performance, or condition of a PERSON or entity. It includes, but is not limited to DOCUMENTS that are entitled or commonly referred to as an income statement, a balance sheet, a statement of cash flows, and a statement of equity.
- 9. The term "IDENTIFY" when used herein means to describe the requested information to the fullest extent possible, which would include, but is not limited to, furnishing

8

16

such information as would enable Plaintiff to issue a subpoena for any information, DOCUMENT, or thing that may be in the possession of any PERSON identified in Your response to that interrogatory. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing:

- When used in reference to a PERSON the definition includes, but is not limited to, a requirement to disclose the full legal name of the PERSON, all business or trade names known to have been used by the PERSON at any time, the address of the PERSON's residence (where they are a natural PERSON, or principal place of business if not), and all telephone numbers where the PERSON may be reached during usual daytime business hours;
- В. When used with reference to a DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT the definition includes, but is not limited to, the requirement to disclose all PERSONS who drafted or contributed to the drafting of, the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT, as well as its current physical location, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS with custody of the DOCUMENT or DOCUMENT; and
- C. When used with reference to a tangible thing, the definition includes, but is not limited to, the duty to disclose, any license, serial number or identifying symbols (if any exist) which identifies the thing, the address at which the thing is customarily is located, and the name and address of the PERSON or PERSONS who have custody or control of the thing.
- D. When used with reference to an account maintained at a bank, credit union or similar financial institution includes, but is not limited to the duty to disclose the name and address of the institution, the account number (or numbers) and the dates during which the account was maintained.
- The term the "INTERROGATORIES" means and refers to the "First Phase II 10. Interrogatories Propounded by Petitioning Creditors" and served concurrently herewith.
- The term "MADELEINE LOPEZ" means and refers to an individual believed to be 11. YOUR spouse at all times from the period commencing January 1, 2002, through and including the present.
 - The term "NOVEON" means and refers to Noveon Systems, Inc., a corporation. 12.
 - The term "ON CREDIT" refers to any transaction or agreement where YOU or one 13.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of YOUR relatives receives goods or services on any terms other than "CASH AND CARRY."

- The term "PERSON" means any individual (natural PERSON), corporation, 14. organization, association, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, firm, joint venture, trust, governmental body, agency, governing board, department or division, or any other entity.
- the term "PERSONAL ACCOUNT RECORDS" means all writings regularly 15. created by or on behalf of YOU to memorialize and/or reflect the status of any account that YOU had with a PERSON or entity that provided YOU with a CREDIT FACILITY, or provided YOU or YOUR family with goods or services ON CREDIT, and includes without limitation a memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinion or diagnoses that are kept in the course of regularly conducted personal, household, or family activity.
- The term "PRISM RECEIVERSHIP" means and refers to the receivership created 16. in that certain civil action that was pending before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Diego, North County Branch, identified as PRISM and PRISM ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC., v. ALAN STANLY (Case No. GIN 028765) based upon the "Stipulation regarding appointment of Richard M Kipperman as receiver," and the order approving and adopting it which was entered on April 22, 2003.
- 17. The terms "RELATED TO" and "RELATING TO" mean constituting, evidencing, defining, containing, describing, concerning, discussing, embodying, reflecting, analyzing, stating, referring to, or dealing with.
- The term "RELATIVE" means any individual related by affinity or consanguinity 18. within the third degree of consanguinity or affinity as determined by common law or any individual in a step or adoptive relationship within such third degree.
- The term the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION" means and refers to the "First 19. Phase II Requests for Admission Propounded by Petitioning Creditors" and served concurrently herewith.
 - The term the "RESIDENCE" means and refers to that single family dwelling 20.

10

11

2

3

4

> 16 17

15

19

18

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

commonly known as 310 Sand Myrtle Trail, Destin, Florida.

- 21. The term the "STANLY JUDGMENT" means and refers to that judgment in favor of Petitioning Creditor Alan Stanly against Francis J. Lopez in the principal amount of \$50,000, in the case of *UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA N.A. v. STANLY and LOPEZ* (GIN 030827) entered by the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of San Diego, on or about September 20, 2004.
 - 22. The terms "YOU" and "YOUR" mean and refer to Francis J. Lopez.
- 23. The term "YOU OWED" when used in connection with a particular creditor means and refers to both amounts YOU acknowledge were owed, and amounts which were claimed by the creditor but which YOU either affirmatively dispute as to liability or amount, or are uncertain as to liability or amount.
- 24. The phrase "PAYMENTS THAT YOU MADE," or words of similar import include all payments that YOU personally made or caused to be made, and all payments that were made by any other person or entity.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. YOUR written response to each category shall first IDENTIFY and quote the category in full. Upon request, YOU will be electronically provided with a copy of these requests in their Word Perfect form to facilitate YOUR compliance with this requirement.

INTERROGATORIES

<u>Interrogatory No. 1.:</u>

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories was an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request and all of the facts upon which YOU base YOUR response.

Interrogatory No. 2.:

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories was an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request and IDENTIFY all PERSONS who have

knowledge of the facts upon which YOU base YOUR response.

Interrogatory No. 3.:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS, writings and other tangible things that YOU content support YOUR response.

Interrogatory No. 4.:

IDENTIFY by date, source, and amount, all cash receipts of any kind (including loan proceeds) that YOU received from any source — including but not limited to — NOVEON between January 1 and July 1, 2005.

Interrogatory No. 5.:

IDENTIFY by date, source, and amount, all cash receipts (including loan proceeds) that MADELEINE LOPEZ received from any source other than NOVEON between January 1 and July 1, 2005.

Interrogatory No. 6.:

IDENTIFY any and all documents that memorialize, reflect, or refer to the mortgage to Lehman Brothers Bank, secured by the Residence.

Interrogatory No. 7.:

IDENTIFY all ACCOUNTS maintained at any bank, credit union, or other financial institution with respect to which YOU are either an owner, co-owner, or beneficiary, at any time from January 1, 2005, to July 31, 2005.

Interrogatory No. 8.:

IDENTIFY all ACCOUNTS maintained with any bank, credit union, or other financial institution where YOU had signature authority to withdraw funds, or cause them to be paid upon YOUR order, at any time between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Interrogatory No. 9.:

IDENTIFY all PERSONS and entities with whom MADELEINE LOPEZ had any contractual or employment relationship at any time between January 1, 2005, and July 31, 2005.

Interrogatory No. 10.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all obligations that YOU OWED to Frederick Lopez as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 11.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Lehman Brothers Bank as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 12.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Allstate Floridian as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 13.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to American Express as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 14.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to American Home Shield as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 15.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Bank of America as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c)

the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Bank Card Services as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 17.:

Interrogatory No. 16.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Cingular as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 18.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Citicard as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 19.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Coastal Community Insurance as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

<u>Interrogatory No. 20.:</u>

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Cox Communication as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 21.:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Ft. Walton Beach Medical Center as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation. Interrogatory No. 22.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Household Bank as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation. Interrogatory No. 23.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Kelly Plantation Owners Association as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation. Interrogatory No. 24.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 25.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 26.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Progressive Insurance as of June 30. 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases;

and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 27.:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Citibank/Quicken Platinum Card as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 28.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Texaco as of June 30, 2005. IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 29.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Valley Forge Life Insurance as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 30.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Verizon as of June 30, 2005. IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 31.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Wayne Wise as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases: and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interro	gatory	No.	32.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Union Bank of California as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 33.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to attorney Jeffrey (Jeff) Smith as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 34.:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to attorney Thomas B. Gorrill as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

Interrogatory No. 35.:

IDENTIFY by name, address, telephone number, facsimile number, and e-mail address, all PERSONS or entities that made payments on any of YOUR obligations at any time from January 1, 2005 to the date of YOUR response to these INTERROGATORIES, and the dates and amounts of each such payment.

Dated: 11-3-06

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation

By:

Scott Keehn Attorneys for

Petitioning Creditor

TAB 105-4

EXHIBIT D

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1: 1 Deny. 2 3 REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2: Admit that YOU were not employed by any PERSON or entity other than Noveon between 5 January 1, 2005 and July 31, 2005. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:** 6 Admit. 8 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:** 9 Admit that you were not engaged as an independent contractor for any person or entity other than NOVEON between January 1, 2005 and July 31, 2005. 10 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3: 11 12 Deny. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:** 13 Admit that you had no contractual relationship of any kind that entitled you to receive 14 remuneration of any kind based upon services that YOU rendered at any time between 15 16 January 1, 2005 and July 31, 2005. 17 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:** 18 Deny. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:**

- 19
- Admit that with respect to the STANLY JUDGMENT, YOU never requested a stay of 20
- enforcement pending YOUR appeal of that judgment. 21
- 22 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:**
- Deny, to the extent that a stay of enforcement was requested, and granted, in Florida. 23
- However, that stay of enforcement was related to the automatic stay in effect as a result of 24
- 25 Stanly filing the involuntary petition against me.
- REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6: 26
- Admit that at some time after June 14, 2004 and before June 14, 2005, the RESIDENCE 27
- 28 was refinanced.

2

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

2 Admit.

1

3

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

- 4 Admit that YOU authorized Joseph Fischbach, as your attorney, to sign the stipulation that
- 5 caused Richard M. Kipperman to be appointed as the receiver of Prism in the PRISM
- 6 RECEIVERSHIP, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

7 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

8 I do not know how to answer. I agreed as CEO of Prism.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

- 10 Admit that YOU have not paid any of the fees that were awarded to Richard M.
- 11 Kipperman on account of services rendered and costs advanced in the PRISM
- 12 RECEIVERSHIP.

13 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

- 14 Admit, with the following qualification: Never did Mr. Kipperman request that I pay for
- any of his services, nor did I ever receive a bill from Mr. Kipperman or his office.

16 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9**:

- 17 Admit that YOU have filed no objection to any of the fees requested by Richard M.
- 18 Kipperman on account of services rendered and costs he has advanced in connection with
- 19 the PRISM RECEIVERSHIP.

20 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

- 21 Admit, to the extent that Mr. Kipperman's bills and fees were filed with the state court and
- 22 | later with the Prism bankruptcy court. I am informed and believe that Mr. Kipperman did
- 23 indeed receive payment from the Prism bankruptcy estate.

24 REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

- 25 Admit that YOU signed as a guarantor on the obligations that Prism Advanced
- 26 Technologies, Inc. ("Prism") incurred under agreements "Equipment Lease Agreement" by
- 27 and between Herman Miller Capital as lessor and Prism Advanced Technologies as lessee.

28 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Case	3:08-cv-00713-JAH-BLM	Document 11-4	Filed 08/08/2008	Page 99 of 182
•	5			
1	Deny.			
2				
3				
4			·	
5	Dated: April 10, 2007			
6		ח	Montan la	har-
7		В	y: M. Jonathan Haye	is f
8			M. Jonathan Haye Attorneys for Alle Francis J. Lopez	ged Debtor
9			•	
10				
11				
12				
13				•
14				
15		1		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				•
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		A		
CES layes	RESPONSE	4 OF FRANCIS J. LOPEZ T	O REQUESTS FOR ADMIS	ASA 0243

1 2	VERIFICATION
3 4 5	UNITED STATE BANKRUPTCY Ss. COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I have read the foregoing Responses to the REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL ADMISSIONS, SET NUMBER ONE / Phase II and know its contents. I am an alleged debtor in this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I am informed and believe that they are true.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Executed on April 10, 2007, at Destin, Florida. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Amn April 10, 2007, at Destin, Florida. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Francis J. Lopez
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	
- 1	

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

EXHIBIT E

28

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Haves 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

1	CATEGORY NO. 1:
2	These documents are not in my possession or control.
3	CATEGORY NO. 2:
4	These documents are not in my possession or control.
5	CATEGORY NO. 3:
6	NOT APPLICABLE.
7	CATEGORY NO. 4:
8	NOT APPLICABLE.
9	<u>CATEGORY NO. 5</u> :
10	No responsive documents found.
11	<u>CATEGORY NO. 6</u> :
12	No responsive documents found.
13	<u>CATEGORY NO. 7</u> :
14	See exhibit B, documents 1 and 2. Any other documents related to this request previously
15	provided in response to Phase I request for documents.
16	<u>CATEGORY NO. 8</u> :
17	See exhibit B, documents 1 and 2. Any other documents related to this request previously
18	provided in response to Phase I request for documents.
19	<u>CATEGORY NO. 9</u> :
20	Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
21	found.
22	CATEGORY NO. 10:
23	Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
24	found.
25	CATEGORY NO. 11:
26	
27	
28	
LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	RESPONSE OF FRANCIS J. LOPEZ TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 1 See Exhibit B, document 3. Any other documents related to this request previously
- 2 provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

3 | <u>CATEGORY NO.</u> 12:

4 No responsive documents found.

5 CATEGORY NO. 13:

- 6 See exhibit B, documents 4 through 8. . Any other documents related to this request
- 7 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

8 CATEGORY NO. 14:

- 9 See exhibit B, documents 4 through 8. . Any other documents related to this request
- 10 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

11 CATEGORY NO. 15:

12 No responsive documents found.

13 **CATEGORY NO. 16:**

14 No responsive documents found.

15 **CATEGORY NO. 17:**

- 16 See Exhibit B, documents 9 through 11. Any other documents related to this request
- 17 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

18 **CATEGORY NO. 18:**

- 19 See Exhibit B, documents 9 through 11. Any other documents related to this request
- 20 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

21 **CATEGORY NO. 19:**

- 22 See Exhibit B, documents 12 through 21. Any other documents related to this request
- 23 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

24 **CATEGORY NO. 20:**

- 25 See Exhibit B, documents 12 through 21. Any other documents related to this request
- 26 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

27 **CATEGORY NO. 21:**

28

ASA 0248

3

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.

3 CATEGORY NO. 22:

- 4 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.

6 CATEGORY NO. 23:

- 7 See Exhibit B, document 23. Any other documents related to this request previously
- 8 provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

9 **CATEGORY NO. 24:**

- 10 See Exhibit B, document 23. Any other documents related to this request previously
- 11 provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

12 **CATEGORY NO. 25:**

- 13 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 14 found.

15 **CATEGORY NO. 26:**

- 16 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 17 | found.

18 **CATEGORY NO. 27**:

- 19 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 20 found.

21 **CATEGORY NO. 28:**

- 22 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 23 found.

24 **CATEGORY NO. 29:**

- 25 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 26 found.

27 | <u>CATEGORY NO. 30:</u>

28

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.

3 **CATEGORY NO. 31:**

- 4 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.

6 CATEGORY NO. 32:

- 7 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 8 found.

9 CATEGORY NO. 33:

- 10 See Exhibit B, documents 28 through 33. Any other documents related to this request
- 11 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

12 **CATEGORY NO. 34:**

- 13 See Exhibit B, documents 28 through 33. Any other documents related to this request
- 14 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

15 **CATEGORY NO. 35:**

- 16 See Exhibit B, document 34. Any other documents related to this request previously
- 17 provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

18 **Category No. 36:**

- 19 See Exhibit B, document 34. Any other documents related to this request previously
- 20 provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

21 Category No. 37:

- 22 See Exhibit B, documents 35 through 42. Any other documents related to this request
- 23 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

24 Category No. 38:

- 25 See Exhibit B, documents 35 through 42. Any other documents related to this request
- 26 previously provided in response to Phase I request for documents.

27 Category No. 39:

28

5

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.
- 3 Category No. 40:
- 4 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.
- 6 Category No. 41:
- 7 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 8 found.
- 9 Category No. 42:
- 10 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 11 found.
- 12 | Category No. 43:
- 13 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 14 | found.
- 15 **Category No. 44:**
- 16 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 17 found.
- 18 **Category No. 45:**
- 19 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 20 | found.
- 21 Category No. 46:
- 22 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 23 | found.
- 24 | Category No. 47:
- 25 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 26 found.
- 27 | Category No. 48:

ASA 0251

6

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 | found.
- 3 Category No. 49:
- 4 | I will provide the requested documents to the extent that they are in my possession or can
- 5 | be readily obtained, provided that the documents requested are not of a private or
- 6 privileged nature.
- 7 Category No. 50:
- 8 | See Exhibit C., statement from Curd Galindo and Smith
- 9 Category No. 51:
- 10 No responsive documents found.
- 11 | Category No. 52:
- 12 No responsive documents found.
- 13 | <u>Category No. 53:</u>
- 14 No responsive documents found.
- 15 **Category No. 54:**
- 16 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 17 found.
- 18 Category No. 55:
- 19 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 20 found.
- 21 Category No. 56:
- 22 No documents in my possession.
- 23 **Category No. 57:**
- 24 No documents in my possession.
- 25 Category No. 58:
- 26 No documents in my possession.
- 27 | Category No. 59:
- 28 No documents in my possession.

1 Category No. 60:

- 2 | See Exhibit B, documents 1 and 2.
- 3 Category No. 61:
- 4 No responsive documents found.
- 5 Category No. 62:
- 6 | See Exhibit B, document 3.
- 7 Category No. 63:
- 8 No responsive documents found.
- 9 | Category No. 64:
- 10 See Exhibit B, documents 4 through 8.
- 11 | <u>Category No. 65:</u>
- 12 No responsive documents found.
- 13 **Category No. 66:**
- 14 No responsive documents found.
- 15 **Category No. 67:**
- 16 No responsive documents found.
- 17 | Category No. 68:
- 18 See Exhibit B, documents 9 through 11.
- 19 Category No. 69:
- 20 No responsive documents found.
- 21 | Category No. 70:
- 22 See Exhibit B, documents 12 through 21.
- 23 **Category No. 71:**
- 24 No responsive documents found.
- 25 **Category No. 72:**
- 26 No responsive documents found.
- 27 | Category No. 73:
- 28 No responsive documents found.

8

- 1 Category No. 74:
- 2 | See Exhibit B, document 23.
- 3 Category No. 75:
- 4 No responsive documents found.
- 5 Category No. 76:
- 6 No responsive documents found.
- 7 | <u>Category No. 77:</u>
- 8 No responsive documents found.
- 9 Category No. 78:
- 10 No responsive documents found.
- 11 Category No. 79:
- 12 No responsive documents found.
- 13 | Category No. 80:
- 14 No responsive documents found.
- 15 **Category No. 81:**
- 16 No responsive documents found.
- 17 | Category No. 82:
- 18 No responsive documents found.
- 19 **Category No. 83:**
- 20 No responsive documents found.
- 21 **Category No. 84:**
- 22 See Exhibit B, documents 28 through 33.
- 23 **Category No. 85:**
- 24 No responsive documents found.
- 25 **Category No. 86:**
- 26 See Exhibit B, document 34.
- 27 | Category No. 87:
- 28 No responsive documents found.

0

1 Category No. 88: See Exhibit B, documents 35 through 42. Category No. 89: 3 No responsive documents found. 4 Category No. 90: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents found. 8 Category No. 91: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents found. 10 11 Category No. 92: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents 12 13 found. 14 Category No. 93: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents found. 16 17 Category No. 94: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents 18 19 found. 20 Category No. 95: 21 No responsive documents found. 22 Category No. 96: No responsive documents found. 23 24 Category No. 97: 25 No responsive documents found. 26 Category No. 98: 27 No responsive documents found. 28 Category No. 99: 10

LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Haves

21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

No responsive documents found. Category No. 100: No responsive documents found. Category No. 101: 4 No responsive documents found. 6 Category No. 102: No responsive documents found, other than what has already been provided. Category No. 103: 8 No responsive documents found. Category No. 104: 10 11 Not applicable. 12 Category No. 105: Not applicable. 13 14 Category No. 106: No responsive documents found. 15 16 Category No. 107: 17 No responsive documents found. Category No. 108: 18 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents 19 20 found. 21 Category No. 109: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents 22 23 found. 24 Category No. 110: Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents 25 26 found. 27 Category No. 111: 28

LAW OFFICES
M. Jonathan Hayes
21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.

3 **Category No. 112:**

- 4 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.

6 Category No. 113:

- 7 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 8 found.

9 Category No. 114:

- 10 See Exhibit B, documents 4 through 8. Other documents provided in response to Phase I
- 11 request for documents.

12 | Category No. 115:

13 No responsive documents found.

14 **Category No. 116:**

- 15 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 16 found.

17 | Category No. 117:

- 18 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 19 found.

20 **Category No. 118:**

- 21 See Exhibit B, documents 9 through 11. Other documents provided in response to Phase I
- 22 request for documents.

23 **Category No. 119:**

- 24 See Exhibit B, documents 9 through 11. Other documents provided in response to Phase I
- 25 request for documents.

26 **Category No. 120:**

- 27 See Exhibit B, documents 12 through 21. Other documents provided in response to Phase
- 28 | I request for documents.

12

1 Category No. 121:

- 2 No responsive documents found.
- 3 | Category No. 122:
- 4 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.
- 6 Category No. 123:
- 7 No responsive documents found.
- 8 Category No. 124:
- 9 See Exhibit B, document 23. Other documents provided in response to Phase I request for
- 10 documents.
- 11 | <u>Category No. 125:</u>
- 12 No responsive documents found.
- 13 **Category No. 126:**
- 14 No responsive documents found in addition to what was provided in response to Phase I
- 15 request for documents.
- 16 **Category No. 127:**
- 17 No responsive documents found.
- 18 **Category No. 128:**
- 19 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 20 found.
- 21 **Category No. 129:**
- 22 No responsive documents found.
- 23 **Category No. 130:**
- 24 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 25 found.
- 26 **Category No. 131:**
- 27 No responsive documents found.
- 28 Category No. 132:

13

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.
- 3 Category No. 133:
- 4 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.
- 6 Category No. 134:
- 7 See Exhibit B, documents 28 through 33. Other documents provided in response to Phase
- 8 I request for documents.
- 9 Category No. 135:
- 10 No responsive documents found.
- 11 | <u>Category No. 136:</u>
- 12 See Exhibit B, document 34. Other documents provided in response to Phase I request for
- 13 documents.
- 14 | Category No. 137:
- 15 No responsive documents found.
- 16 Category No. 138:
- 17 See Exhibit B, documents 35 through 42. Other documents provided in response to Phase
- 18 I request for documents.
- 19 **Category No. 139:**
- 20 No responsive documents found.
- 21 | Category No. 140:
- 22 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 23 | found.
- 24 | Category No. 141:
- 25 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 26 found.
- 27 **Category No. 142:**

28

ASA 0259

14

- 1 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 2 found.
- 3 | Category No. 143:
- 4 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 5 found.
- 6 Category No. 144:
- 7 | Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 8 found.
- 9 **Category No. 145:**
- 10 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 11 found.
- 12 **Category No. 146:**
- 13 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 14 found.
- 15 **Category No. 147:**
- 16 Already provided in response to Phase I request for documents. No additional documents
- 17 found.
- 18 **Category No. 148:**
- 19 No responsive documents found, other than what has already been provided.
- 20 Category No. 149:
- 21 No responsive documents found, other than what has already been provided.
- 22 | Category No. 150:
- 23 No responsive documents found, other than what has already been provided.
- 24 Category No. 151:
- 25 No responsive documents found.
- 26 **Category No. 152:**
- 27 No responsive documents found.
- 28 Category No. 153:

15

No responsive documents found. 1 Category No. 154: See Exhibit C. Category No. 155: 4 See Exhibit D, documents related to Kipperman Payment from bankruptcy estate of Prism 5 Advanced Technologies, Inc.(RFA #9), Trustee's final report Category No. 156: See Exhibit C for copies of bank statements showing deposits, from Compass Bank, Destin, FL. Category No. 157: 10 See Exhibit C for copies of bank statements showing deposits, from Compass Bank, 11 Destin, FL. 12 13 Category No. 158: The documents referred to are not in my possession. They are imaged documents that can 15 be reproduced for a fee. 16 Category No. 159: The documents referred to are not in my possession. They are imaged documents that can 17 18 be reproduced for a fee. 19 Category No. 160: 20 No responsive documents found. 21 Category No. 161: 22 No responsive documents found. 23 Category No. 162: See Exhibit C. 24 25 Dated: April 10, 2007 26 27 28 16

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Haves

21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Filed 08/08/2008

Document 11-4

Page 118 of 182

LAW OFFICES

Case 3:08-cv-00713-JAH-BLM

M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

EXHIBIT F

increases; and (d) the dates and payments made on that obligation. In fact, those questions are posed on no less than 25 out of a total of 35 interrogatories. However, answering these questions depends on how the "original amount" is defined. If it is defined as any original transaction that gives rise to the balance as of June 30th, then it is possible to have a revolving account that may have hundreds or even thousands of transactions, given question (c), and dozens or more transactions pertinent to question (d). If the original amount is defined as the amount as of June 30th, then question (b) seems to be irrelevant. I therefore request clarification as to these interrogatories (Numbers 10 through 34) so that I can proceed. If indeed I am requested to go back several years to find original balances, then I suggest that there is an overwhelming burden to answer the interrogatories as phrased. Notwithstanding the fact that the wording is unclear, there are requests that are answerable regardless, as either the account with the creditor is not an old one, or transactions with that creditor were of a limited nature. Thus, I have answered interrogatories 10, 19, 23, 29 and 31 for these reasons.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

1

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories was an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request and all of the facts upon which YOU base YOUR response.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

- No. 1, I did in fact receive some cash payments from Noveon during the specified period.
- No. 3, I was in fact an independent contractor for another entity during the specified period.
- No. 4, I was in fact entitled to receive remuneration as a result of services rendered during
- 26 the specified time period.
- 27 No. 10, I never signed as a personal guarantor on any agreement between Herman Miller
- 28 Capital and Prism Advanced Technologies, Inc.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

1

- No. 1: I am aware of at least two payments from Noveon to me during the specified period. The first was a payment in the amount of \$1,000 on or about 1/4/2005, the second was a payment in the amount of \$35.00 on or about 4/26/05.
- No. 3 and No. 4: During this period, I was also an independent contractor as a licensed life and health insurance agent. I operated as an independent agent, and had agency agreements with a number of insurance companies. These agreements entitled me to compensation for insurance policies issued to policyholders as a result of my efforts.
- 9 No. 7: I never authorized J. Fischbach to sign a stipulation by me on a personal basis, for 10 the appointment of Kipperman. Kipperman was appointed with the consent of the CEO of 11 Prism.
- No. 8: Kipperman nor any of his agents or employees, have ever approached me on a personal basis to suggest that I pay any portion of his receivership bills or expenses.
- Further, I have never received any statements, notices, letters or any correspondence to suggest that I was responsible for the payment of these bills or expenses.
- No. 9: Kipperman submitted bills and expenses to the state court and the Prism bankruptcy court. I read a document from the latter court that indicated that Kipperman received some payment from Prism's estate for his services.
- No. 10: Herman Miller capital financed a lease of office furniture that was used by Prism Advanced Technologies. This lease was agreed to by me acting as CEO of Prism, and was never guaranteed by me personally.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Unless YOUR response to each of the "REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS" served with these interrogatories an unqualified admission, then for each response which is not an unqualified admission, state the number of the request, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS, wittings and other tangible thins that YOU content support YOUR response.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Vague as to the meaning of the phrase YOU content

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

]	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 3:
2	
3	
4	. 1
5	
6	No. 7: I am not aware of any other documents related to this, other than the document
7	
8	No. 8: There are no documents responsive to this response, as I never received any nor did
9	
10	No. 9: Kipperman filed numerous documents with the state court and the Prism
11	bankruptcy court. One of the documents that I am referring to is the payment of certain
12	amounts to Kipperman from the Prism Bankruptcy estate.
13	No. 10: Herman Miller Capital lease contract with Prism Advanced Technologies, Inc.
14	INTERROGATORY NO. 4:
15	IDENTIFY by date, source, and amount, all cash receipts of any kind (including
16	loan proceeds) that YOU received form any source – including but not limited to –
17	NOVEON between January 1 and July 1, 2005.
18	RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 4:
19	Still researching, will provide.
20	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 4:
21	(a) 1/4/05, Noveon Systems, Inc., \$1,000.00
22	(b) 4/26/05, Noveon Systems, Inc., \$35.00
23	(c) 2/3/05, Paypal, \$200.00
24	(d) 1/26/05, Compass Bank, \$0.34. There are many small cash receipts for interest that
25	I will not bother to list unless you want them.
26	There are other cash receipt transactions that may be responsive to this interrogatory, I
27	am still researching those.
28	<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 5</u> :
	4

1	IDENTIFY by date, source, and amount, all cash receipts (including loan proceeds)
2	that MADELEINE LOPEZ received from any source other than NOVEON between
3	January 1 and July 1, 2005
4	RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 5:
5	The requested information is not in my possession or control.
6	<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 6</u> :
7	IDENTIFY any and all documents that memorialize, reflect, or refer to the
8	mortgage to Lehman Brothers Bank, secured by the Residence.
9	RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 6:
10	Any responsive documents are not in my possession or control.
11	<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 9</u> :
12	IDENTIFY all PERSONS and entities with whom MADELEINE LOPEZ had any
13	contractual or employment relationship at any time between January 1,2005 and July 31,
14	2005
15	RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 9:
16	Unknown.
17	INTERROGATORY NO. 11:
18	With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Lehman Brothers Bank as of
19	June 30, 2005, IDENTITY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was
20	originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and
21	the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that
22	obligation.
23	RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 11:
24	Unknown.
25	INTERROGATORY NO. 12:
26	With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Allstate Floridian as of June
27	30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was
28	originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and
	_

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amount s of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

1

2

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

This is a difficult question to answer. The original amount of the obligation as of June 30, 2005, to the best of my knowledge, was \$134.00. This is a homeowners' insurance policy that underwent an increase on April 27, 2005. That increase required additional payments to be made for the policy that expired on July 16, 2005.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to American Express as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and, (d) the dates and amount of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

6

As of June 30, 2005, the balance owing to American Express, to the best of my knowledge, was \$24,903.26. These obligations were incurred over time, and were associated with business and personal expenses related to Prism Advanced Technologies, Inc. No payments were made toward this obligation after June, 2005.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to American Home Shield as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

After contacting this creditor and reviewing my records, this obligation was for an annual insurance policy that ran from July 2004 through July 2005, and the policy for that period was paid in full prior to June 30, 2005. The first installment was paid on 7/20/04 for \$128.33, and the final installment was paid in November of 2004.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Bank of America as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

28

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are

these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

8 SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

9 (a) approximately \$2386.50; (b) longstanding revolving credit line, no way to determine;

(c) not applicable; (d) 8/11/05 for \$292.50, account was closed shortly thereafter due to the filing of the involuntary petition

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Bank Card Services as of June 30, 2005 IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount

21 of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several

22 years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are

23 these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on

24 opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

26 After examining my records, I do not believe that I owed this creditor as of June 30, 2005.

27 INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Cingular as of June 30, 2005,

IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

12 (a) \$162.22; (b) June 19, 2005; (c) not applicable; (d) 7/7/05 for \$151.18, 8/10/05 for \$151.18; 9/7/05 for \$175.72.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

1

4

5

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Citicard as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY: (a) the original amount of the obligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the dates upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005? bill date was July 2005; (c) not applicable; (d) 8/22/05, \$1013.03

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

This was a longstanding revolving credit account, the approximate balance as of June 30,

- 1 2005 was \$32,515.00. I had been making regular monthly payments of \$379.00. After the
- 2 involuntary petition, Citibank refused to process any more payments, citing the
- 3 bankruptcy. (c) the obligations did not increase after that date; (d) see below:
- 4 | 10/18/04, \$379
- 5 | 11/18/04, \$379
- 6 | 12/18/04, \$379
- 7 | 1/18/05, \$379
- 8 | 2/18/05, \$379
- 9 | 3/18/05, \$379
- 10 | 4/18/05, \$379
- 11 | 5/18/05, \$379
- 12 | 6/18/05, \$379

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Cox Communication as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

- 20 See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount
- 21 as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount
- 22 of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several
- 23 years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are
- 24 these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on
- 25 opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

26 SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

- 27 (a) \$232.60; (b) 6/22/2005; (c) not applicable; (d) payment on 7/7/05 for \$237.98.
- 28 INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Walton Beach Medical Center as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

1

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

(a) estimated at \$1900.00; (b) unknown; (c) not applicable; (d) not applicable

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to household bank as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

(a) \$2295.95; (b) longstanding revolving credit line; (c) after the filing of the involuntary

petition, the account was closed and Household Bank would not process any more payments; (d) not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Northwest Florida Daily News as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 30, 2005?

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

After a review of all of my records with regard to this creditor, it appears that I did not owe them anything as of June 30, 2005.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Okaloosa Gas District as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go back several

27

28

increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments

date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations

made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.

In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this

the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account,

the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go

back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that

obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include

payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June

10 | 30, 2005?

1

4

5

7

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

12 (a) \$848.00 as of June 30, 2005; (b) revolving longstanding debt; (c) Quicken refused to

process any payments after June, 2005 due to the involuntary petition; (d) none after June

14 | 2005.

INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Texaco as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally

incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount

19 of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

21 Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.

22 In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this

23 the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account,

24 the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go

25 back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that

26 obligation, are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include

27 payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June

28 | 30, 2005?

14

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

- (a) revolving debt, balance as of 6/11/05 was \$350.20; (b) revolving balance, no "original date" can be determined; (c) and (d) below:
- 7/11/05 balance \$340.99, payment 7/22/05 for \$65.00
- $5 \parallel 8/11/05$ balance \$281.40, payment 8/14/05 for \$50.99
- $6 \parallel 9/11/05$ balance \$234.71, payment 9/26/05 for \$10.00
- $7 \parallel 10/11/05$ balance 228.84, payment 10/20/05 for \$25.00
- 8 | 11/11/05 balance \$278.85, payment 12/15/05 for \$10.00
- $9 \parallel 12/12/05$ balance \$306.28, payment on or about 1/6/06 for \$100.00

INTERROGATORY NO. 30:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Verizon as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 30:

- 16 Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.
- 17 In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this
- 18 the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account,
- 19 the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go
- 20 back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that
- 21 obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include
- 22 payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June
- 23 | 30, 2005?

24

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 30:

- 25 This was a longstanding account for monthly cellular service for my business phone. (a)
- 26 \$271.64; (b) occurred on a repeating monthly basis; (c) I have records of the following
- 27 payments: 9/7/05, 65.26; 11/16/05, 37.98; 4/5/05, 35.16; approximately 7/30/05, 276.78;
- 28 5/4/05, 35.16; 3/2/05, 35.16; 1/25/05, 64.89

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 32:

2 Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.

In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this

the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account,

the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go

back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that

obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include

payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June

9 | 30, 2005?

1

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

INTERROGATORY NO. 32:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Union Bank of California as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 33:

- 17 Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.
- 18 In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this
- 19 the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account,
- 20 the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go
- 21 back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that
- 22 obligation, are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include
- 23 payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June
- 24 | 30, 2005?

25

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 33:

- 26 (a) The obligation as of June 30, 2005 was \$6,000; (b) not applicable; (c) not applicable;
- (d) Subsequent to that date, six equal installments of \$1000.00 were paid to Union

Bank, on a monthly basis from July through December, 2005:

INTERROGATORY NO. 34:

With respect to any or all debts that YOU OWED to Thomas B. Gorrill as of June 30, 2005, IDENTIFY (a) the original amount of the aboligation; (b) the date it was originally incurred; (c) the date upon which the amount those obligations increased and the amount of such increases; and (d) the dates and amonts of all payments made on that obligation.

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 34:

- Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party.
- In addition, See General Note. Vague as to the "original amount of the obligation", is this
- the amount as of a specified date, or an earlier date? Since this is a longstanding account, 10
- the amount of the obligation has varied over time, and the opening transactions may go 11
- back several years. Vague as to "the dates and amounts of all payments made on that 12
- obligation", are these payments up until a certain date? Does your request include 13
- payments made on opening balance transactions, regardless of date, or balances as of June 14
- 30, 2005? 15

1

2

3

5

6

8

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 34: 16

- I do not have the exact figure owing to this creditor. It was approximately \$1,000.00. In 17
- November, 2006, I paid this creditor \$1,000 as payment in full for all services rendered. 18
- Unfortunately, as of the time of this writing, I do not know the exact date payment was 19
- 20 made.

21

22

23

24

26

INTERROGATORY NO. 35:

- IDENTIFY by name, address, telephone number, facsimile number, and e-mail address, all PERSONS or entities that made payments on any of YOUR obligations at any time from January 1,2005 to the date of YOUR response to these INTERROGATORIES, and the dates and amounts of each such payment.
- 25

RESPONSE INTERROGATORY NO. 35:

- Objection FRCP 33 provides that only 25 interrogatories may be propounded by a party. 27
- 28 In addition, See General Note.

17

1	SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORY NO. 35:
2	Madeleine Lopez, my wife, 310 Sand Myrtle Trail, Destin, FL 32541; some business
3	expenses, where the account was in my name, were paid by Noveon Systems, Inc., PO Box
4	219, Destin, FL 32541 (now defunct).
5	Dated: March 30, 2007
6	april 10,
7	Malana
8	By: W/Mth./hm
9	M. Jonathan Hayes Attorneys for Alleged Debtor Francis J. Lopez
10	1
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

1 **VERIFICATION** 2 UNITED STATE BANKRUPTCY 3 SS. 4 COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN 5 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 I have read the foregoing Responses to the REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL 8 RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES, SET NUMBER ONE / Phase II and know its 9 contents. 10 I am an alleged debtor in this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document 11 are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information 12 and belief, and as to those matters I am informed and believe that they are true. 13 14 Executed on April 10, 2007, at Destin, Florida. 15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 16 foregoing is true and correct. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT G

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES

402 West Broadway, Suite 1210 San Diego, California 92101 A Professional Corporation
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
www.keehnlaw.com

Phone: (619) 400-2200 Facsimile: (619) 400-2201

May 14, 2007

VIA E-MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

M. Jonathon Hayes Law Office of M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard Street, Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Email: jhayes@polarisnet.net

Re: Summary of Meet and Confer

Dear Mr. Hayes:

Thank you for meeting with me Friday afternoon, May 11, 2007, to meet and confer in connection with the deficiencies in the supplemental responses provided by Mr. Lopez. The following will summarize those items which you have agreed to supplement, or cause to be otherwise corrected, based on our discussion:

- 1. (Facts Supporting Denials of Requests for Admission) Mr. Lopez will provide a supplemental response identifying the facts upon which Mr. Lopez relied in giving his response to request for admission number 5.
- 2. Mr. Lopez will identify the "other cash receipt transactions" referred to in your supplemental response to interrogatory number 4, and he will complete all applicable "research" necessary to respond to that interrogatory by the time of his supplemental response.
- 3. Mr. Lopez will provide a meaningful response to interrogatory number 5, recognizing that he has access to information relating to Madeline Lopez and is required to avail himself of the access for purposes of responding to discovery.
- 4. Mr. Lopez will provide a meaningful response to interrogatory number 6, recognizing that he has access to information relating to Madeline Lopez and is required to avail himself of the access for purposes of responding to discovery.
- 5. Mr. Lopez will provide a meaningful response to interrogatory number 11, recognizing that he has access to information relating to Madeline Lopez and is required to avail himself of the access for purposes of responding to discovery.

M. Jonathon Hayes May 14, 2007 Page 2

- 6. Interrogatory number 13 does not address the dates upon which any payments were made on American Express obligation. While it admits that "no payments were made towards this obligation after June 2005" that obviously begs the question of what payments, if any, were being made in the period leading up to the petition. The response to this interrogatory must be supplemented to be completely responsive.
- 7. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 15 requires Mr. Lopez to identify the dates upon which payments, if any, were made.
- 8. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 16 is that "after examining my records, I do not believe I owed this creditor as of June 30, 2005." However, the documents that were "examined" to lead to that conclusion were not provided. These documents must be identified and provided with the next supplemental response.
- 9. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 17 is internally inconsistent. By indicating that the response to Part (C) is "not applicable," Mr. Lopez is saying that he never increased the original amount of \$162.22. However, he thereafter made payments in the amount of \$151.18, for two consecutive months, followed by a payment of \$175.72. This supplemental response must be corrected.
- 10. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 18 is non-responsive. Rather than identifying the date upon which the obligation was incurred, it purports to identify the balance on June 30, 2005. In that fashion, the response to Part (C) is also non-responsive in that it only addresses increases in the obligations after June 30, 2005. Similarly, the responses to Part (D) are non-responsive because they only address the post-petition period. These responses must be supplemented to be fully responsive to the inquiry made.
- 11. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 22 is non-responsive. It fails to identify the date that the revolving credit line came into existence, the increases in the credit line, and any pre-petition decreases in that credit line.
- 12. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 22 is that "after examining my records, I do not believe I owed this creditor as of June 30, 2005." However, the documents that were "examined" to lead to that conclusion were not provided. These documents must be identified and provided with the next supplemental response.
- 13. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 27 in non-responsive. It fails to identify the date upon which the revolving credit facility was established, the increases to that credit facility, and the dates of pre-petition payments. Most of the response refers to the refusal of the creditor to process post-petition payments, which is neither relevant nor responsive to the inquiry made. A fully responsive supplement must be provided.
- 14. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 28 is non-responsive. It does not identify the date upon which the credit facility was established, the increases made, or pre-

M. Jonathon Hayes May 14, 2007 Page 3

petition payments made. The listing of post-petition payments made is neither relevant nor responsive to the inquiry made. A fully responsive supplement must be made.

- 15. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 30 is non-responsive. It identifies post-petition payments without identifying any pre-petition payments. A fully responsive supplement must be made.
- 16. The supplemental response to interrogatory number 33 is non-responsive. It fails to identify the date the obligation was incurred, its original amount, or any pre-petition payments. A fully responsive supplement must be made.
- The supplemental response to interrogatory number 4 is completely non-responsive. It 17. deals only with a post-petition balance and ignores the inquiry that has been made. A fully responsive supplement must be made.
- Mr. Lopez has identified, but failed to provide, a copy of check number 5135 for \$1000, or the "Herman Miller Capital Lease." A copy must be provided.
- None of the supplemental documents provided by Mr. Lopez identify the category or categories of responses to which he was responding. Nor were they provided in the manner in which they were kept in his business records. This information must be provided.

I would like to thank you once again for taking the time to meet with me and discuss these issues. At the risk of commenting on the obvious, I would ask you to remind Mr. Lopez that the Court requires all supplemental responses to be in our possession not later than Monday, May 21, 2007. I would be happy to accept the documents and the second supplemental responses via e-mail if that will expedite or facilitate the process.

If you have any questions or comments pertaining to any aspect of this matter, please contact me at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC

L. Scott Keehn

cc: Client (via e-mail only) 110198/LSK/5311.01

EXHIBIT H

L. Scott Keehn - Re: Supplemental Discovery

From:

L. Scott Keehn

To:

Hayes, M. Jonathan

Date:

5/22/2007 9:24 AM

Subject:

Re: Supplemental Discovery

Jon,

You can have another two business days, but only if you prepare a stipulation and order that also provides us an additional two business days to file our supplemental sanctions motion (i.e. from 5/25/07 to 5/30/07). Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours, L.Scott Keehn



This is an e-mail from Keehn & Associates, APC, Attorneys-at-Law. THE CONTENTS OF THIS E-MAIL ARE PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ORDINARY USER OF THE E-MAIL ADDRESS TO WHICH IT WAS ADDRESSED. No one else may copy or forward all or any of it in any form. Our postal address is 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210, San Diego, California 92101.

If you receive this e-mail in error, we would be obliged if you would contact the sender by reply email or telephone at (619) 400-2200 and destroy all copies of the original message.

>>> "M. Jonathan Hayes" <jhayes@polarisnet.net> 5/21/2007 7:10 PM >>> Scott,

Francis was out of town most of last week. He spent the weekend working on responding to your letter re our meet and confer. This is his response.

- 1) We had some initial confusion on the wording of your interrogatory requests, especially since it appeared that you were asking for a complete history of each creditor account, since its' inception. You later clarified that your were only concerned as of June 30, 2005. We provided supplemental answers with that in mind. Now, in this letter, you seem to be asking for the complete history again. Some of these accounts have hundreds of changes to the balance over their long history.
- 2) If you want a complete transactional history for the accounts listed in your letter, we can request copies from the creditors, if they still have them. I think it's over the top to

require us to spell out the answers for every change in balance, debit or credit, in an interrogatory response.

- 3) Specifically as to your letter:
- 1. Facts are given in this response. We do not understand what other facts you require.
- 2. None found.
- 3. Not in client's possession or control.
- 4. Not in client's possession or control.
- 5. Not in client's possession or control.
- 6. We thought you clarified this to be as of June 30 and transactions beyond. See above.
- 7. This was identified in client's supplemental answer, (d).
- 8. This means that none were found.
- 9. Same as (6).
- 10. Same as (6).
- 11. Same as (6).
- 12. No documents were found.
- 13. Same as (6).
- 14. Same as (6).
- 15. Same as (6).
- 16. Same as (6).
- 17. Same as (6).
- 18. Client doesn't know which check for \$1000 you are referring to. Also, he cannot find a copy of the Herman Miller Capital Lease.

Please give us another two days to send you a formal response with these answers.

Jon Hayes

M. Jonathan Hayes

jhayes@polarisnet.net

21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

(818) 710-3656

(818) 710-3659 fax

(818) 402-7537 cell

THIS COMMUNICATION IS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY BY THE ATTORNEY CLIENT

PRIVILEGE AND IS ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. "This message contains confidential

information intended for the recipient only. If you have received this message in error, please call (818) 710-3656 and notify me of that fact and destroy all copies of this message." Thank you.

EXHIBIT I

L. Scott Keehn - RE: Supplemental Discovery

From:

"Jon Hayes" < jhayes@polarisnet.net>

To:

"L. Scott Keehn'" <scottk@keehnlaw.com>

Date:

5/23/2007 9:04 AM

Subject: RE: Supplemental Discovery

Scott,

Thanks and sorry for the delay in getting back to you. I have spoken to Francis. I don't think there is anything additional we can give you that will prevent you filing the motion anyway so I don't think the additional time will do use any good.

Jon

From: L. Scott Keehn [mailto:scottk@keehnlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 9:25 AM

To: Hayes, M. Jonathan

Subject: Re: Supplemental Discovery

Jon,

You can have another two business days, but only if you prepare a stipulation and order that also provides us an additional two business days to file our supplemental sanctions motion (i.e. from 5/25/07 to 5/30/07). Let me know how you want to proceed.

Very truly yours, L.Scott Keehn



This is an e-mail from Keehn & Associates, APC, Attorneys-at-Law. THE CONTENTS OF THIS E-MAIL ARE PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ORDINARY USER OF THE E-MAIL ADDRESS TO WHICH IT WAS ADDRESSED. No one else may copy or forward all or any of it in any form. Our postal address is 402 West Broadway, Suite 1210, San Diego, California 92101.

If you receive this e-mail in error, we would be obliged if you would contact the sender by reply email or telephone at (619) 400-2200 and destroy all copies of the original message.

>>> "M. Jonathan Hayes" < jhayes@polarisnet.net> 5/21/2007 7:10 PM >>>

Scott,

Francis was out of town most of last week. He spent the weekend working on responding to your letter re our meet and confer. This is his response.

- 1) We had some initial confusion on the wording of your interrogatory requests, especially since it appeared that you were asking for a complete history of each creditor account, since its' inception. You later clarified that your were only concerned as of June 30, 2005. We provided supplemental answers with that in mind. Now, in this letter, you seem to be asking for the complete history again. Some of these accounts have hundreds of changes to the balance over their long history.
- 2) If you want a complete transactional history for the accounts listed in your letter, we can request copies from the creditors, if they still have them. I think it's over the top to require us to spell out the answers for every change in balance, debit or credit, in an interrogatory response.
- 3) Specifically as to your letter:
- 1. Facts are given in this response. We do not understand what other facts you require.
- 2. None found.
- 3. Not in client's possession or control.
- 4. Not in client's possession or control.
- 5. Not in client's possession or control.
- 6. We thought you clarified this to be as of June 30 and transactions beyond. See above.
- 7. This was identified in client's supplemental answer, (d).
- 8. This means that none were found.
- 9. Same as (6).
- 10. Same as (6).
- 11. Same as (6).
- 12. No documents were found.
- 13. Same as (6).
- 14. Same as (6).
- 15. Same as (6).
- 16. Same as (6).
- 17. Same as (6).
- 18. Client doesn't know which check for \$1000 you are referring to. Also, he cannot find a copy of the Herman Miller Capital Lease.

Please give us another two days to send you a formal response with these answers.

Jon Hayes

M. Jonathan Hayes jhayes@polarisnet.net 21800 Oxnard St. Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 (818) 710-3656

(818) 710-3659 fax (818) 402-7537 cell THIS COMMUNICATION IS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY BY THE ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND IS ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. "This message contains confidential information intended for the recipient only. If you have received this message in error, please call (818) 710-3656 and notify me of that fact and destroy all copies of this message." Thank you.

TAB 110

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHIEF JUDGE PETER W. BOWIE, PRESIDING

)
FRANCIS J. LOPEZ

) CASE NO. 05-05926-PB
)
)

- 1) STATUS CONFERENCE ON INVOLUNTARY PETITION AND ANSWER
- 2) PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER: A)IMPOSING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEBTOR; AND, B) IMPOSING EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEBTOR.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, JUNE 25, 2007

SAN DIEGO BANKRUPTCY REPORTERS BY: LYNETTE ALVES P.O.BOX 496 SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075 (858) 336-8558

APPEARANCES

M. JONATHAN HAYES

LAW OFFICE OF M. JONATHAN HAYES
21800 OXNARD ST.
SUITE 840
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367
(818) 710-3656

L. SCOTT KEEHN

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC

402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

(619) 400-2200

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JUNE 25, 2007, 10:00 A.M.

THE CLERK: IN THE MATTER OF FRANCIS J. LOPEZ. TWO MATTERS: CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE ON INVOLUNTARY PETITION AND ANSWER; AND, PETITIONING CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER (1) IMPOSING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEBTOR; AND, (2) IMPOSING EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEBTOR.

APPEARANCES, PLEASE.

MR. KEEHN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

SCOTT KEEHN APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONING CREDITORS.

MR. HAYES: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

JOHN HAYES APPEARING FOR THE DEBTOR -- ALLEGED DEBTOR.

MR. KEEHN: YOUR HONOR, THIS PARTICULAR ODYSSEY BEGAN BEFORE THANKSGIVING, IF YOU CAN BELIEVE THAT, BACK ON NOVEMBER 3RD.

THE COURT: THAT'S NOTHING AT ALL COMPARED TO ADAMS.

MR. KEEHN: YOU KNOW, I WAS HOPING YOU WOULDN'T JUST CONFINE YOURSELF TO THIS RECORD. AND ALSO THE CASE BEFORE, THERE WERE THIRTEEN MEET AND CONFER LETTERS; AND WE DON'T MEET THAT RECORD, EITHER.

BUT THIS IS AN INVOLUNTARY PETITION. AND WE WERE HOPING TO MOVE IT ALONG WITH A LITTLE MORE ALACRITY THAN YOU MIGHT EXPECT IN --

THE COURT: SO YOU'RE SAYING IT REMAINS INVOLUNTARY?

MR. KEEHN: OH, VERY INVOLUNTARY.

WHAT WE HAVE HERE IN RESPONSE TO OUR REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY

THAT WERE PROMULGATED NOW SEVEN MONTHS AGO; AT FIRST THERE WAS NO RESPONSE AT ALL IN THE APPROPRIATE PERIOD. ALL OBJECTIONS WERE WAIVED. AND WHEN WE MOVED FOR MOTION TO COMPEL THE SANCTIONS. COUNSEL COMES IN AND APOLOGIZES FOR DEBTOR, BEMOANS THE FACT THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR A LOT OF INFORMATION; AND AGREES TO THE COURT'S RULING THAT SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES SHOULD BE PROVIDED NOT LATER THAN THE 21ST OF MAY.

WELL, ON THE 21ST OF MAY -- AND, ACTUALLY NOTHING HAPPENED BETWEEN THE TIME THE COURT MADE THAT -- GAVE THE DEBTOR THAT SECOND CHANCE. NOTHING HAPPENED BETWEEN THEN AND MAY 21ST UNTIL SHORTLY AFTER SEVEN IN THE EVENING WHEN MR. HAYES E-MAILED MY E-MAIL WITH A REQUEST FOR A DAY OR TWO EXTENSION.

WELL, I DIDN'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH A DAY OR TWO
EXTENSION, AS LONG AS I GET AN EQUAL EXTENSION AND TIME
TO REPLY. AS SOON AS I SAW THE E-MAIL THE FOLLOWING
MORNING, I RELAYED THAT INFORMATION TO MR. HAYES.
IN RESPONSE TO THAT, I RECEIVED ANOTHER E-MAIL THAT SAID,
NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO PROVIDE ANYTHING ELSE AND WE'RE

SO ONCE AGAIN, WE HAVE THE TIME PERIOD PASSING AND, OF COURSE, WE WERE PREJUDICED, NOT IN AN OVERWHELMING DEGREE, BUT NOTICEABLY BY THE FACT THAT IN RESPONSE TO THE FIRST E-MAIL WE HAD ANTICIPATED THAT WE WOULD NOT HAVE TO MEET THE ORIGINAL DEADLINE FOR RESPONSE.

WITHDRAWING OUR REQUEST FOR EXTENSION.

SO, UPON RECEIVING THE WITHDRAWAL E-MAIL, WE QUICKLY

REGROUPED AND DID OUR REPLY -- DID OUR MOTION, RATHER. WE HAD ONLY A FEW DAYS TO DO THAT, GIVEN THE SETTING OF THIS PARTICULAR HEARING IN ORDER TO GIVE AN ADEQUATE TIME TO RESPOND. SO WE DID THAT.

AND WE HAVE WHAT HAS BECOME A FAMILIAR PATTERN. WE HAVE, WHAT PURPORTS TO BE RESPONSES GIVEN ON BEHALF OF MR. LOPEZ; AND, IN FACT, THEY'RE NOT REALLY GOOD-FAITH RESPONSES AT ALL. THEY PROVIDE SOME PAPER, MOST OF WHICH WAS PLEADINGS IN CASES THAT MR. LOPEZ HAD EVERY REASON TO KNOW THAT WE ALREADY HAD AND SO WOULD BE COMPLETELY USELESS.

NOW, THIS PARTICULAR DISCOVERY DISPUTE CREATES A DYNAMIC THAT'S DIFFERENT FROM MOST DISCOVERY DISPUTES, BECAUSE MOST DISCOVERY DISPUTES ARISE IN TRADITIONAL LITIGATION. AND TRADITIONAL LITIGATION IS ALWAYS LOOKING BACK AT AN EVENT AND IS STATIC, IN TERMS OF WHERE THE LIABILITIES WILL FALL. BECAUSE THEY DEPEND ON WHAT HAPPENED BACK WHEN WHATEVER INCIDENT OCCURRED, WHATEVER COURSE OF CONDUCT OCCURRED THAT GAVE RISE TO THE TRADITIONAL LITIGATION. SO YOU'RE ALWAYS LOOKING BACKWARDS AND YOU'RE NOT REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT'S HAPPENING ON A GOING-FORWARD BASIS.

THE DIFFERENT DYNAMIC THAT'S CREATED IN EVERY INVOLUNTARY PETITION IS THE INVOLUNTARY GAP DYNAMIC. BECAUSE, WHILE DELAY IS ALWAYS PREJUDICIAL TO BRINGING A MATTER TO ITS QUICK AND COST EFFECTIVE CONCLUSION, IT HAS AN AUXILIARY PREJUDICE IN INVOLUNTARY CASES BECAUSE THE DEBTOR IS FREE TO CREATE OBLIGATIONS THAT WILL BECOME INVOLUNTARY GAP

CLAIMS AND LEAPFROG AHEAD IN PRIORITY TO THE CLAIMS OF THE CREDITORS THAT BROUGHT THE ACTION.

AND THAT PARTICULAR FORM OF PREJUDICE IS RENDERED ALL THE MORE DISTURBING BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY TO MONITOR IT, NO WAY TO QUANTIFY IT. MR. LOPEZ IS OUT THERE. MAYBE HE'S NOT CREATING INVOLUNTARY GAP CLAIMS AND PERHAPS HE IS. BUT THE RISK IS THAT THE UTILITY OF THE REMEDY OF INVOLUNTARY BANKRUPTCY AS A CREDITOR'S REMEDY IS SUBJECT TO THIS FORM OF DILUTION, SIMPLY BECAUSE THE ALLEGED DEBTOR REFUSES -- I WANT TO UNDERSCORE THAT -- REFUSES TO COMPLY WITH THE DISCOVERY IN GOOD FAITH.

WE ARE TRYING TO NARROW THE ISSUES WITH THE EVIDENCE THAT'S AVAILABLE SO THAT WE CAN PROCEED IN THE SECOND PHASE, AS WE DID IN THE FIRST, TO PRESENT THE ISSUES TO THE COURT IN A SUMMARY JUDGMENT FASHION, BECAUSE WE THINK THIS CASE IS AMENABLE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

AND MR. LOPEZ IS JUST VERY ADROITLY BLOCKING THAT WITH THIS PASSIVE/AGGRESSIVE TACTIC OF HIS, WHERE HE DOESN'T REPLY AT ALL UNTIL HIS BACK IS ABSOLUTELY TO THE WALL AND SOME DRACONIAN CONSEQUENCE MIGHT BEFALL HIM. AND THEN HE'LL RESPOND, BUT HE WON'T REALLY RESPOND IN GOOD FAITH. HE GIVES YOU A PARITY OF GOOD-FAITH, THAT DOES NOT ADVANCE THE PURPOSES OF DISCOVERY.

NOW, WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL HIS BACK IS AGAINST THE WALL TO GET OUR RESPONSES. WE'RE ENTITLED TO OUR RESPONSES THIRTY DAYS AFTER THEY'RE SERVED, THIRTY-THREE WHEN THEY'RE SERVED BY MAIL.

AND WE'RE LONG PAST THAT WITH THESE DISCOVERY REQUESTS THAT WERE PROMULGATED BACK IN NOVEMBER. AND AS THE OBJECTION DISCLOSES, THE ALLEGED DEBTOR IS WELL AWARE THAT WE'RE DOING, ONCE AGAIN, WHAT WE DID IN THE FIRST PHASE; WE'RE USING THE WRITTEN DISCOVERY AS, TO SORT OF TEE UP THE ISSUES FOR OUR, DEPOSITION EXAMINATION, WHICH IS THE NORMAL WAY TO PROCEED.

SO BY HINDERING AND DELAYING THE DISCOVERY PROCESS IN THE WRITTEN PHASE, HE NATURALLY DEFERS THE ORAL EXAMINATION, WHICH IN TURN DEFERS, ONCE AGAIN, THE DAY OF RECKONING. AND ALL THE WHILE HE'S FREE TO BE OUT THERE INCURRING FURTHER GAP CLAIMS.

SO I THINK THAT WHAT WE'VE SUGGESTED IN OUR PAPERS IS THE APPROPRIATE RESPONSE. NUMBER ONE, THE DEFERRED MONETARY SANCTIONS THAT THE COURT ORIGINALLY AWARDED OF \$42 000 -- EXCUSE ME, I'M DREAMING -- \$4,242. SHOULD BE IMPOSED.

THE -- I DON'T THINK THAT THE RESULT THE COURT HAD HOPED TO ACHIEVE BY DEFERRING IT HAS BEEN ACHIEVED. I DON'T THINK IT EVER WILL BE ACHIEVED. I THINK THAT THIS IS JUST MR. LOPEZ'S LITIGATION TACTIC; HALT, HINDER AND DELAY AND MAYBE I'LL HAVE TO ANSWER SOMEDAY.

THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS THAT WE'VE REQUESTED, I THINK, ARE APPROPRIATE. AND THEY WILL FACILITATE THE PURPOSE OF DISCOVERY, BECAUSE THEY NARROW THE ISSUES FOR US, EVEN WITHOUT THE COOPERATION OF THE ALLEGED DEBTOR.

SO WE WOULD REQUEST THAT THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS BE

IMPOSED AS REQUESTED, AND THAT THE MONETARY SANCTION BE AWARDED FORTHWITH, WITH A DATE CERTAIN ESTABLISHED AS TO WHEN THAT SECTION SHOULD BE PAID.

THE COURT: MR. HAYES.

MR. HAYES: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU. I HAVE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS.

THE FIRST ONE IS, I WANTED TO COMMENT ON THIS, THE REQUEST I'VE MADE OF MR. KEEHN FOR ANOTHER ONE OR TWO DAYS. I'VE HAD -- MR. LOPEZ LIVES IN FLORIDA, AND I HAVE A HARD TIME COMMUNICATING WITH HIM. WE COMMUNICATE BY E-MAIL AND I HAVE HIS CELL PHONE, AND INEVITABLY, I CATCH HIM WHEN HE'S PICKING HIS KIDS UP OR HE'S IN A STORE OR SOMETHING AND THERE'S THE THREE-HOUR TIME GAP.

ANYWAY, I SPOKE TO HIM VERY BRIEFLY A COUPLE OF TIMES. I SAID, LOOK, WE HAVE TO GET THEM MORE DOCUMENTS.

THERE'S -- MR. KEEHN SENT ME THIS LETTER, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO? WE DISCUSSED IT REAL QUICKLY. AND FINALLY, THE TIME CAME WHERE HE ABSOLUTELY HAD TO FILE A RESPONSE, AND THAT'S WHEN I SENT THE E-MAIL TO MR. KEEHN SAYING, GIVE ME ANOTHER DAY OR TWO.

THE FOLLOWING DAY I SPOKE TO MR. LOPEZ AT GREAT LENGTHS.

AND WE WENT THROUGH THE LETTER ONE BY ONE. AND I MEAN,

THERE ISN'T ANYTHING ELSE WE CAN COME UP WITH. THERE

ISN'T ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS EXCEPT THIS ISSUE WITH THE WIFE.

THERE ISN'T ANY DOCUMENTS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN TURNED OVER.

THERE ISN'T ANY, ANY MORE EXPLANATION OR, OR, MORE DETAILS

THAT WE COULD GIVE, OTHER THAN REALLY REPEAT INFORMATION

THAT'S ON VARIOUS -- OF THE MANY STATEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN TURNED OVER I BELIEVE MANY TIMES BY NOW.

BUT, ADDRESSING THE MOTION, THE MOTION HAS TWO PARTS. ONE IS GRANT THESE SANCTIONS, WHICH I, FRANKLY, BELIEVE HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE GOAL. AND THE SECOND IS THESE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS.

AS FAR AS THE SANCTIONS, THE \$4000. I JUST WANT TO SAY AGAIN, I JUST DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT CAN BE TURNED OVER OTHER THAN MRS. LOPEZ'S DOCUMENTS, THERE ARE NO OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT CAN BE TURNED OVER THAT HAVEN'T ALREADY BEEN TURNED OVER.

I BROUGHT MR. LOPEZ'S FIRST DEPOSITION. IT'S

240-SOMETHING PAGES. THIS IS WELL MORE THAN A YEAR AGO.

THE REST OF THESE PAPERS ARE EXHIBITS. EVERY STATEMENT

OF EVERY ONE OF HIS BILLS OF THE TWENTY OR SO CREDITORS

ARE ALL CONSUMER DEBTS. THIS IS WELL MORE THAN A YEAR OLD.

MR. KEEHN HAS SENT OUT SEVERAL SUBPOENAS. I'M ACTUALLY

NOT SURE WHAT HE'S GOTTEN FROM THOSE. WE PROVIDED MORE

DOCUMENTS TWICE IN THIS GO AROUND. IF MR. KEEHN REALLY

WANTED TO GET MOVING WITH THIS, JUST TAKE THE DEPOSITION

AGAIN. HE'S BEEN TELLING ME HE'S GOING TO TAKE THIS

DEPOSITION. HE SOMEHOW CAN'T BECAUSE HE

REALLY -- THERE'S SOME MAGICAL PIECE OF PAPER THAT I'M NOT

CLEAR ABOUT THAT HE HASN'T GOTTEN YET; AND THEREFORE, HE

CAN'T GO FORWARD. THAT'S JUST RIDICULOUS.

WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCUMENTS IN MRS. LOPEZ'S POSSESSION,

I'VE ACTUALLY NEVER MET MRS. LOPEZ. BUT I MEAN, MY WIFE

HAS A CHECKING ACCOUNT AND SHE CARRIES THE CHECKBOOK
AROUND IN HER PURSE. AND I'D BE LOOKING FOR A DIVORCE
ATTORNEY IF I WENT INTO HER PURSE AND FOUND HER CHECKBOOK
AND STARTED SENDING OFF INFORMATION SOMEBODY HAPPENED TO
BE SUING ME.

THE COURT: SO YOU'RE CONTENDING THIS IS ALL SEPARATE PROPERTY, AND HE HAS NO INTEREST WHATSOEVER IN THE FUNDS THAT SHE ADMINISTERS OR THE DEBT SHE'S INCURRED WHETHER IT'S A LEHMAN BROTHERS, OR THE MORTGAGE ON THE HOUSE OR ANY OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS?

MR. HAYES: I DON'T KNOW IF I'D GET INTO WHETHER IT'S COMMUNITY PROPERTY OR NOT. BUT IF THEY WANT, I MEAN, THEY CAN GO AFTER HER. THEY CAN SUBPOENA HER OR THEY CAN COME IN WITH SOME SORT OF EVIDENCE OF WHY THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE MR. LOPEZ --

THE COURT: SO WHAT'S YOUR THEORY, WHAT'S YOUR THEORY
ON WHY SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO PROVIDE AN ANSWER WITH RESPECT
TO WHAT SHE HAS OR HOLDS OR PAYS, PARTICULARLY, IF HE'S
GOT SOME OBLIGATION OR LIABILITY ON IT?

MR. HAYES. THEY HAVEN'T ASKED HER. THEY'RE ASKING HIM.

THE COURT: NO. THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION. MY QUESTION

IS, WHAT'S YOUR THEORY FOR WHY HE DOESN'T HAVE TO ANSWER

THAT QUESTION?

MR. HAYES: THAT'S HER RIGHT TO PRIVACY.

THE COURT: SO IT'S HER RIGHT TO PRIVACY, BECAUSE IT'S SOME SEPARATE INTEREST OF HERS OR WHAT?

WHERE DOES SHE HAVE A RIGHT TO PRIVACY ON SOMETHING THAT

YOU SAY YOU DON'T GET TO THE COMMUNITY PROPERTY QUESTION.

I MEAN, HE'S GOT A COMMUNITY PROPERTY INTEREST IN IT, IF

HE'S GOT A STATE STATUTORY RIGHT TO CO-MANAGEMENT OF

COMMUNITY ASSETS OR ANYTHING ELSE THAT I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT

FLORIDA LAW PROVIDES.

I'M ASKING YOU WHAT YOUR THEORY IS FOR WHY HE DOESN'T HAVE TO ANSWER IT?

MR. HAYES: WELL, FOR ONE THING, FLORIDA IS NOT COMMUNITY PROPERTY. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW FLORIDA LAW IS. BUT WHAT I FOCUSED ON IS MR. LOPEZ, GO TO YOUR WIFE AND GET THESE DOCUMENTS.

THE COURT: NO, POSSESSION -- YOU KNOW, WHAT DOES HE HAVE IN HIS POSSESSION OR CONTROL? WHAT DOES HE HAVE?

HAS HE PRODUCED EVERYTHING THAT'S IN HIS POSSESSION OR

CONTROL WITH RESPECT TO THAT?

MR. HAYES: OH, ABSOLUTELY. BUT THAT'S --

THE COURT: AND THAT'S INCLUDING AS TO HIS LIABILITY WITH RESPECT TO IT?

MR. HAYES: YES. AS FAR AS I KNOW. BUT THAT DOES RAISE THE QUESTION OF DOES -- IS WHAT'S IN HIS WIFE'S PURSE IN HIS POSSESSION OR CONTROL? I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT HE'S TELLING ME. I CAN'T --

THE COURT: NO. YOUR ANSWER IS, HE HAS NO INTEREST OR OBLIGATION IN THE LEHMAN BROTHERS OR THE MORTGAGE OR WHATEVER IT IS, THEN WHY DON'T YOU AGREE TO THOSE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AS WELL? YOU SAY HE'S TURNED OVER EVERYTHING.

MR. HAYES: WELL, EVERYTHING THAT'S IN HIS POSSESSION OR HIS CONTROL WITHOUT GETTING DIVORCED; YES.

THE COURT: THEN THE ANSWER, IT SEEMS TO ME, IS THAT YOU AGREE TO THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS, SAID THAT HE CANNOT PRODUCE ANYTHING HE HAS NOT ALREADY PRODUCED, COME TIME OF TRIAL WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUE THAT WE'LL BE LITIGATING IN THIS PHASE 2 OF THIS ISSUE.

MR. HAYES: I MIGHT DO THAT. I HADN'T THOUGHT OF IT, MYSELF.

I WAS FOCUSING ON THE MOTION, WHICH BASICALLY ASKED YOU TO GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT TODAY. BUT I WOULD AGREE WITH THE THEORY THAT THINGS -- HE SHOULDN'T BE ABLE TO COME IN WITH SOMETHING AFTER THIS, OR HE SHOULDN'T BE ABLE TO, "QUOTE," "UNQUOTE," FIND SOMETHING, YOU KNOW, TWO DAYS BEFORE TRIAL.

AND I DO BELIEVE EVERYTHING'S BEEN TURNED OVER.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S WHAT MR. KEEHN IS -- I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT HIS STRATEGY IS, BUT THAT'S AT LEAST WHAT HE'S ASKING FOR IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS MOTION, BECAUSE HE WANTS TO BE ABLE TO CLOSE THE BOOK AND THEN GO TAKE YOUR CLIENT'S DEPO KNOWING THAT YOUR CLIENT IS NOW PRECLUDED FROM STEPPING UP AND SAYING OH, BUT HERE'S THIS, AND HERE'S THIS AND HERE'S THAT; AND NOT EVER HAVING HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT OR INDEPENDENTLY VALIDATE IT FROM THIRD PARTIES OR ANY OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS.

YOU WOULDN'T WALK INTO A DEPO BLIND THAT WAY. I'M CONFIDENT OF THAT.

MR. HAYES: NO. YOU KNOW, WHAT I WAS FOCUSING ON IS THE MOTION SAYS ELIMINATE ALL EVIDENCE ENTIRELY REGARDING EACH ONE OF THESE CATEGORIES; NOT JUST ELIMINATE -- NOT JUST HAVE AN EVIDENTIARY SANCTION THAT WE CAN'T FIND, "OUOTE," "UNOUOTE," FIND MORE DOCUMENTS.

I THINK I WOULD AGREE TO THAT. WHATEVER DOCUMENTS HAVEN'T BEEN TURNED OVER UP TO NOW, I WOULD AGREE TO THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. HAYES: I'M NOT SURE I -- YOU KNOW, HE JUST FOUND A JOB AND HE DOESN'T HAVE \$4,400. AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT ELSE I CAN SAY.

YOU SAID, YOU'RE NOT SURE WHAT MR. KEEHN'S STRATEGY IS.

I MEAN, IF THEY REALLY WANTED THIS CASE TO BE FINISHED,

THEY WOULD HAVE TAKEN HIS DEPOSITION SIX OR EIGHT MONTHS

AGO.

THE COURT: I WOULDN'T HAVE. I WAS A LITIGATOR FOR A BUNCH OF YEARS. I'M NOT WALKING INTO A DEPOSITION WHEN THERE'S A WHOLE BUNCH OF DOCUMENTS OUT THERE AND HAVE THEM SURPRISE ME.

MR. HAYES: WELL, I DON'T THINK THERE ARE.

THE COURT: WELL --

MR. HAYES: FOR MONTHS THERE HAVEN'T BEEN A WHOLE BUNCH
OF DOCUMENTS OUT THERE, BUT ALL RIGHT, I HAVE NOTHING
FURTHER.

THE COURT: OKAY. MR. KEEHN.

MR. KEEHN: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

IN ADDITION TO THE REASONS THAT YOU'VE ALLUDED TO AS TO

WHY YOU WOULDN'T TAKE THE DEPOSITION WITHOUT THE DOCUMENTS, THERE WERE RESPONSES THAT MR. LOPEZ GAVE IN HIS ORIGINAL DEPOSITION A YEAR AGO, NOW, THAT TO THE EFFECT THAT, WELL THERE ARE MORE DOCUMENTS. I JUST HAVE TO LOOK AT THEM. AND OBVIOUSLY THAT'S A DOOR WE NEED TO CLOSE. IF WE GET THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS, AS MR. HAYES SUGGESTED, WE'VE CLOSED THE DOOR.

AND I, IN TERMS OF THE -- IF HE'S AGREEING TO THE SANCTIONS
THEN I DON'T NEED TO ADDRESS HOW HELLACIOUS THIS ARGUMENT
OF MARITAL STRESS IS CONCERNED. I DID HAVE SOME DOCUMENTS
THAT WERE RECENTLY FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT THAT BLOW
THAT OUT OF THE WATER. BUT IF HE'S AGREEING TO IT, I WON'T
ADDRESS IT.

AS FAR AS THE MONETARY SANCTION IS CONCERNED, YOUR HONOR,
THIS -- WE TRIED TO CLOSE THE DOOR IN A PROCESS THAT BEGAN
SEVEN MONTHS AGO. I SHOULDN'T BE HERE SEVEN MONTHS LATER
CLOSING IT ON A CAPITULATION TO A SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL
THAT I SHOULDN'T HAVE HAD TO FILE.

IF THERE REALLY ARE NO DOCUMENTS, THEN WHY IS THE RESPONSE, WELL, THERE'S MORE THINGS I NEED TO CHECK AND I CAN'T BE SURE. IF THERE REALLY ARE NO DOCUMENTS, THEN WHY WAS THAT ANSWER NOT GIVEN BACK IN MAY? WHY WAS IT NOT GIVEN BACK IN DECEMBER WHEN IT WOULD HAVE BEEN TIMELY? THERE'S NO EXPLANATION FOR THAT.

THIS IS EXACTLY THE KIND OF GAMESMANSHIP THAT RULE ONE OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE ABHORS. THE PURPOSE OF THE RULES, THEMSELVES, IS TO PROVIDE A

MECHANISM AND A FRAMEWORK FOR THE RAPID AND FAIR RESOLUTION OF ISSUES.

NOW, ONE COMMENT, SORT OF, AT LEAST I INFERRED THE ARGUMENT FROM THE FACT THAT WE HAVE TWO TO THREE INCHES OF PAPER FROM THE ORIGINAL DEPOSITION. AND WHY ARE WE STILL HERE A YEAR LATER?

IN ADDITION TO NOT HAVING THE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED, WE ALSO HAVE THE POINT THAT THIS CASE WAS BIFURCATED AT MR. LOPEZ'S REQUEST. THERE WERE MANY QUESTIONS THAT I COULD EASILY HAVE ASKED THE FIRST GO AROUND THAT MIGHT HAVE OBVIATED THE NEED FOR FURTHER DEPOSITION, OR AT LEAST REDUCED IT. I COULDN'T BECAUSE OF THE CONSTRAINTS PLACED BY THE BIFURCATION ORDER. AND I TRIED TO ADHERE TO THAT ORDER. SO I HAVE TO TAKE HIS DEPOSITION YET A SECOND TIME. AND THEN ONE OF THE LAST REMARKS THAT COUNSEL MADE, I THINK, UNDERSCORES THE NEED FOR THE SANCTIONS HAVING BEEN IMPOSED BECAUSE IT HIGHLIGHTS THE GAMESMAN-LIKE APPROACH THAT MR. LOPEZ HAS TAKEN. AND THAT, THAT COMMENT WAS, HE JUST GOT A JOB.

WELL, IF BY THAT HE'S REPRESENTING TO THE COURT THAT UP UNTIL THIS TIME HE'S BEEN UNEMPLOYED, I THINK THAT EXACERBATES THE CULPABILITY OF MR. LOPEZ IN NOT TIMELY RESPONDING. WHAT ELSE WAS HE DOING? WAS HE REALLY SPENDING FORTY HOURS A WEEK LOOKING FOR A JOB? IF HE WAS UNEMPLOYED, CERTAINLY HE WOULD HAVE HAD MORE TIME AVAILABLE TO TEND TO HIS DUTIES AS A LITIGANT THAN A FULLY-EMPLOYED INDIVIDUAL WOULD. AND EVEN A

FULLY-EMPLOYED INDIVIDUAL IS EXPECTED TO RESPOND AND RESPOND TIMELY TO DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS.

AS I SAID IN THE BEGINNING, WE DON'T KNOW TODAY, AND WE WON'T KNOW UNTIL THAT ORDER FOR RELIEF IS ENTERED AND SCHEDULES ARE FILED, IF THAT EVER HAPPENS. HOW MUCH THIS ESTATE AND THE GENERAL UNSECURED CREDITORS THAT BROUGHT THIS POSITION HAVE BEEN PREJUDICED BY THIS DELAY AND THE INCURRING OF GAP CLAIMS?

I THINK THAT MESSAGE THE COURT SENT THE FIRST TIME TO MR. LOPEZ WAS, I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER CHANCE. I THINK THE MESSAGE THAT THE COURT NEEDS TO SEND TO MR. LOPEZ NOW IS, THIS ISN'T A GAME. AND THERE AREN'T ANY MORE CHANCES. AND YOU DIDN'T PLAY BY THE RULES, AND SO THE SHIELD THAT I PUT IN FRONT OF YOU BEFORE, IS NOW DOWN. AND THESE SANCTIONS ARE DUE AND PAYABLE.

THE COURT: WELL, IN MY VIEW, AT LEAST AT THIS POINT IN TIME AND ON THE PRESENT STATE OF THE RECORD, THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS ARE WARRANTED, AND WILL BE ORDERED IN THE FOLLOWING RESPECTS:

WITH RESPECT TO DEBTOR'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NUMBER FIVE, THAT WILL BE, AND THE DEBTOR HAS AGREED, THAT WILL BE AN UNOUALIFIED ADMISSION;

DEBTOR WILL BE PROHIBITED FROM PROVIDING EVIDENCE OF PAYMENTS FROM NOVION BETWEEN JANUARY 1 OF 2005 TO JULY 1 OF 2005;

DEBTOR WILL BE PROHIBITED FROM PROVIDING EVIDENCE OF PAYMENTS OF CASH RECEIVED FROM JANUARY 1, 2005 TO JULY 1,

2005;

DEBTOR WILL BE PROHIBITED FROM PROVIDING EVIDENCE OF PAYMENTS OF CASH RECEIVED BY HIS WIFE BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 2005 AND JULY 1 OF 2005. AND I WILL ADD, AS TO THESE PROHIBITIONS, THAT'S TO THE EXTENT NOT ALREADY DISCLOSED TO THE MOVING CREDITORS, THE PETITIONING CREDITORS. IF IT'S BEEN DISCLOSED, THEN IT'S THERE. THERE'S NO PRECLUSION OF USE OF THAT INFORMATION IF IT'S BEEN PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO THE PETITIONING CREDITORS, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DISCOVERY OF THIS CASE; THE DEBTOR WILL BE PROHIBITED FROM PROVIDING ANY EVIDENCE OF A DEBT IN FAVOR OF LEHMAN BROTHERS OR ANY PAYMENT TO LEHMAN BROTHERS AS OF THE PETITION DATE EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT AND SAME PROVISO, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT ALREADY DISCLOSED TO THE COUNSEL FOR PETITIONING CREDITORS; AND, DEBTOR WILL BE PROHIBITED FROM PROVIDING EVIDENCE OF DEBT IN FAVOR OF AMERICAN EXPRESS, BANK OF AMERICA, BANK CARD, CINGULAR, CITI CARD, HOUSEHOLD BANK, NORTHWEST FLORIDA DAILY NEWS, CITIBANK QUICKEN, TEXACO, VERIZON, UNION BANK, OR MR. GORRILL OR ANY PAYMENT TO ANY OF THEM AS OF THE PETITION DATE, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT ALREADY PROVIDED THE COUNSEL FOR PETITIONING CREDITORS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DISCOVERY IN THIS MATTER. AS TO THE MONETARY SANCTIONS, I AM, AGAIN, GOING TO DEFER AWARDING THOSE. BUT THEY REMAIN THE SORT OF DAMOCLES OVER MR. LOPEZ. BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO SOME OF MR. KEEHN'S SPECULATION AS TO WHAT'S GOING ON. MR. KEEHN

IS CONVINCED THAT THIS IS A GAME THAT MR. LOPEZ IS PLAYING.
I'M NOT YET CONVINCED OF THAT.

SO EVENTUALLY WE WILL GET TO THE ANSWER ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. AND ONCE I KNOW THE ANSWER, I'LL APPLY THEM, IF I DEEM THAT'S APPROPRIATE AT THE TIME. BUT THE EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS AT THIS POINT IN TIME ARE WARRANTED. I'LL SIGN AN ORDER TO THAT EFFECT.

MR. KEEHN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, WE HAVE A STATUS CONFERENCE. TIMING.

ARE YOU, NOW, LOOKING AT THE DEPOSITION, MR. KEEHN?

MR. KEEHN: I AM. AND CONSISTENT WITH THE LOCAL

RULES, I NEED TO CONFER WITH COUNSEL AS TO AVAILABLE DATES.

MR. HAYES: I HAVE TO BE BACK HERE JULY 31ST. THAT'S A LITTLE QUICK, BUT I'M GOING TO BE HERE ANYWAY.

THE COURT: JULY 31ST? THAT'S A TUESDAY.

MR. KEEHN: YES.

THE COURT: WHAT TIME IS YOUR HEARING ON THE 31ST?

MR. HAYES: AT 10:00. IT'S A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION,

SO IT COULD BE IN THE AFTERNOON; SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION THAT HASN'T BEEN FILED.

THE COURT: WE COULD DO 2:00 P.M. ON THE 31ST. DOES

THAT WORK?

MR.KEEHN: IT'S CLEAR ON MY CALENDAR, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HAYES: 2:00?

THE COURT: OKAY. AND WE'LL DO THAT AS A FURTHER STATUS CONFERENCE. BUT IN THE MEANTIME, I ASSUME THE TWO

OF YOU WILL MEET AND CONFER ON A DEPOSITION DATE. AND THEN ONCE THE DEPOSITION IS CONCLUDED, I ASSUME MR. KEEHN, YOU'RE PLANNING A MOTION?

MR. KEEHN: I AM.

THE COURT: JUST SO MR. HAYES SEES WHAT'S COMING AND THEN WE'LL -- ONCE THAT MOTION'S DECIDED, WE'LL KNOW WHERE WE GO NEXT, IF ANYWHERE.

MR. HAYES: GREAT.

MR. KEEHN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HAYES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL BE IN RECESS.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

I, LYNETTE ALVES, OFFICIAL REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

THAT I REPORTED IN SHORTHAND THE PROCEEDINGS
HELD IN THE FOREGOING CAUSE ON THE 25TH DAY OF JUNE, 2007;
THAT MY NOTES WERE LATER TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING
UNDER MY DIRECTION; AND, THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT
CONTAINS A CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

DATE	O THIS			DAY	OF _				_′	2007
								-		
Τ.	YNETTE	$\Delta TMES$	CSR	#12	534	RPR	#611	256		

TAB 114

CSD 183Se ₁ 3:08 cgy- Name, Address, Telephon L. Scott Keehn (SB Leslie F. Keehn (S KEEHN & ASSOCIATES 402 West Broadway, San Diego, Califor Telephone: (619) Attorneys for Peti	ne No. & I.D. No. BN 61691) BN 199153) G, APC Suite 1210 rnia 92101 400-2200		Filed 08/08/2008	Page 173 of 182
SOUTHERN	TATES BANKRUPTCY I DISTRICT OF CALIFOR I, San Diego, Califor	NIA		
In Re				
FRANCIS J. LOPEZ,			BANKRUPTCY NO.	05-05926-PBINV
Tax I.D.(EIN)#:	/s.s.#:XXX-X	X Alleged De	btor.	
	NOT	TICE OF HEARING A	ND MOTION	
YOU ARE HERI in Department No. <u>4</u>	EBY NOTIFIED that on the Jeronian series of	n <u>November 19, 200'</u> lacob Weinberger Uni e a hearing regarding	ted States Courthouse, lother than the motion ofthe Petition ofthe Petition ofthe Petition	, at <u>2:00</u> p.m., ocated at 325 West "F" Street,
of such papers with prod	of of service must be fi 6991, NOT LATER TH	iled with the Clerk of t	ed upon the undersigned he U.S. Bankruptcy Coul DAYS FROM THE DAT	and the original and one copy rt at 325 West "F" Street, San E OF SERVICE.
		//s// L. Sco		
		[Attorney fo	or] Moving Party	

¹If you were served electronically or by mail, you have three (3) additional days to take the above-stated action ASA 0315

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	I, the undersigned whose address appears below, certify:					
	That I am, and at all times hereinafter mentioned was, more than 18 years of age;					
IMPOS	That on <u>19th</u> day of <u>October, 2007</u> NG, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHO ING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST TH N ENFORCEMENT ORDER IMPOSING MON ce]	ORITIES IN SUPPOR IE DEBTOR, and DEC	T OF MOTION F CLARATION OF	FOR AN ENFORCEMENT ORDER FL. SCOTT KEEHN RE: MOTION		
FIRST	CLASS MAIL					
on the f	following persons [set forth name and address	s of each person serv	ed] and/or as ch	necked below:		
[v]	Attorney for Debtor (if required):					
	M. Jonathan Hayes Law Office of M. Jonathan Hayes 21800 Oxnard St., Suite 840 Woodland Hills, CA 91367					
[]	See attached Service List					
[v]		nbered Chapter 13 cases:	[]	For EVEN numbered Chapter 13 cases:		
	UNITED STATES TRUSTEE THOMAS H. I Department of Justice 530 "B" Stree 402 West Broadway, Suite 600 San Diego, C San Diego, CA 92101		:	DAVID L. SKELTON, TRUSTEE 525 "B" Street, Suite 1430 San Diego, CA 92101-4507		
	I certify under penalty of perjury that the fore	going is true and corr	ect.			
	Executed on October 19, 2007	//s// Lisa	ı L. Keehn			
	(Date)	, .,	ame and Signature)			
		402 Wes (Address)	st Broadway, Su	uite 1210		
		San Die	go, CA 92101 e, ZIP Code)			

TAB 114-1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

L. Scott Keehn, SBN 61691 Leslie F. Keehn, SBN 199153
Leslie F. Keehn, SBN 199153
KEEHN & ASSOCIATES
A Professional Corporation
402 West Broadway, Suite 1210
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: (619) 400-2200
T 1 1 (1 1) 10 = 10
Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In Re: Case No. 05-05926-PBINV FRANCIS J. LOPEZ, NDUM OF POINTS AND HORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION Alleged Debtor. ORDER IMPOSING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEBTOR [BIFURCATED PHASE II] Date: November 19, 2007 Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge: The Honorable Peter W. Bowie Ctrm: 4

Pursuant to Rule 37(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP"), made applicable to these proceedings by Rule 9014(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP"), Petitioning Creditors respectfully submit their Motion for an Order from this Court imposing monetary sanctions against Lopez in the amount of \$12,133.50, consisting of actually-incurred legal fees as follows: (a) \$3,155.00 for preparing this motion; (b) \$2,164.50 for preparing the necessary documents and questions for Lopez's deposition; (c) \$224.00 for confering with Lopez's attorney regarding Lopez's last minute failure to appear for his deposition; and (d) \$6,590.00 for preparing Petitioning Creditors' renewed motion for the monetary sanctions originally requested on May 24, 2007.

9

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSMILE (619) 400-2201

23

I. INTRODUCTION

"Unless Rule 37 is perceived as a credible deterrent rather than a 'paper tiger,' . . . the pretrial quagmire threatens to engulf the entire litigative process.

This is the second sanctions motion Petitioning Creditors have brought to redress discovery abuses by the Alleged Debtor — Francis J. Lopez ("Lopez").² Lopez's unreasonable and unjustified delay tactics have dragged the discovery portion of Phase II of this case out for almost a year, and — despite the imposition of evidentiary sanctions, multiple admonishments from this Court, and the threat of \$4,242 in monetary sanctions — Lopez remains undeterred. As set forth below, for the past three months, Petitioning Creditors have been attempting to take Lopez's deposition. True to form, Lopez feigns cooperation, and then, at the last minute, proffers some excuse as to why he can not comply.

On June 25, 2007, counsel for Lopez (Jonathan Hayes) and Petitioning Creditors (Scott Keehn) conferred in person regarding Petitioning Creditors' request to take Lopez's deposition. At that time, Mr. Keehn stated that he would like to calendar Lopez's deposition before July 31, 2007 because he would be out of the office for virtually the entire month of August. The attorneys agreed that either July 20 or July 27 would work on their calendars, and Mr. Hayes stated that he would inquire as to Lopez's availability on those dates. Three days later, on June 28, 2007, Mr. Hayes emailed Mr. Keehn the following message: "I have spoken to my client about the deposition dates we discussed, July 20 or 27. He is checking his calendar. I will let you know shortly." (Emphasis added.)

Twenty-two days later — in the early evening of July 20, 2007 — Mr. Hayes transmitted an email suggesting, for the first time, July 31, 2007, as an available date for the deposition. Given that July 31, 2007 would be Mr. Keehn's last day in the office before a 30-day vacation, he knew he would be fully consumed with matters necessary to be completed in preparation for that

Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Pictures Corp., 602 F.2d 1062, 1064 (2nd Cir. 1979).

See, Docket Item 105 (Petitioning Creditors' "Motion for an Enforcement Order: (1) Imposing Monetary Sanctions Against the Debtor; and (2) Imposing Evidentiary Sanctions Against the Debtor").

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

absence. Because of that, and because of the fact that he had received absolutely no communication from Mr. Hayes' office following the June 28, 2007 email, Mr. Keehn issued and mailed to Mr. Hayes a notice of Lopez's deposition for Tuesday, September 11, 2007, at 9:00 a.m. in San Diego.

On July 31, 2007, this Court conducted a Status Conference wherein Mr. Keehn reported to the Court the events summarized above, including the fact that Petitioning Creditors had noticed Mr. Lopez's deposition for September 11, 2007. Mr. Hayes stated in open court that he would communicate that information to Mr. Lopez, and inform Petitioning Creditors if Lopez could not attend his deposition on the scheduled date. That was the last Petitioning Creditors heard from Mr. Hayes until September 10, 2007.

Reasonably assuming Lopez's deposition would proceed on September 11, 2007, the office of the counsel for Petitioning Creditors spent a total of 7.7 hours (constituting attorney and paralegal time) to prepare the necessary documents and questions. Petitioning Creditors were billed \$2,164.50 for that time.

In the afternoon of September 10, 2007 — less than 24 hours before the deposition was scheduled to begin — Lopez's attorney sent Mr. Keehn a brief email stating that Lopez was "unable to make travel arrangements for the deposition tomorrow." The email suggested October 8, 2007 or October 22, 2007 as possible dates for the deposition. Lopez's deposition is currently scheduled for October 22, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. based on Mr. Hayes' representation that it was an acceptable date and time. This latest delay caused attorney Keehn to spend an additional 0.7 hours conferring with attorney Hayes. Another 25.7 hours was spent reviewing the requisite evidence, and drafting the declarations in support of Petitioning Creditors renewed motion for the monetary sanctions originally requested on May 24, 2007. The bulk of that time was spent setting forth a clear, comprehensive record for this Court of Lopez's egregious history of delay and noncompliance with court orders.

As stated in open court on June 25, 2007,³ once Lopez's deposition is conducted,

See, 6/25/07 Transcript at p. 18. [Docket Item 110.]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Petitioning Creditors intend to prepare and file a summary judgment motion to establish that Lopez was in fact not paying his debts as they came due as of the date of the involuntary petition.

Lopez's past misconduct in this case mirrors his extensive misconduct in other litigation involving Lopez and Petitioning Creditor Alan Stanly in other San Diego courts, as detailed in the Declaration of Timothy P. Dillon filed herein on September 27, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference.⁴ Considering Lopez's pervasive custom and practice of refusing to perform his duties as a litigant unless and until he is [forensically] bludgeoned into submission by the court, it appears clear that monetary sanctions must be imposed. Without a significant sanctions order, Petitioning Creditors do not believe that Lopez will ever voluntarily appear for, and participate in good faith at, his deposition in this case.

II. DISCUSSION

Monetary Sanctions are a Necessary and Appropriate Response to Lopez's Α. Unreasonable Pattern of Delay, Avoidance and Willful Non-Compliance.

"Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37 authorizes the district court, in its discretion, to impose a wide range of sanctions when a party fails to comply with the rules of discovery or with court orders enforcing those rules." Here, FRCP 37 is made applicable to these proceedings by FRBP 9014(c), and grants this Court broad discretion to tailor appropriate sanctions for Lopez's continued, unreasonable refusal to perform his duties as a litigant, and participate in discovery in a meaningful way.6

Where, as here, an alleged debtor has engaged in a course of conduct clearly designed to avoid his discovery obligations, even the seemingly "harsh" sanction of striking the debtor's answer and adjudicating him a bankrupt is both appropriate and necessary to avoid encouraging "a

See, Docket Item 111.

Wyle v. R.J. Reynolds Industries, Inc., 709 F.2d 585, 589 (9th Cir. 1983).

Matter of Visioneering Const., 661 F.2d 119, 123 (9th Cir. 1981) ("The bankruptcy court, faced with an obstreperous alleged bankrupt, unequivocally had the power to apply Fed.R.Civ.P. 37 sanctions for obstruction of discovery"); *In re Williams*, 215 B.R. 289, 299 (Dist. Ct. D.R.I. 1997) ("the choice and severity of the sanction imposed is a matter reserved to the sanctioning court's discretion").

6

10

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSMILE (619) 400-2201

blatant disregard for the discovery mechanism." Such terminating sanctions are likewise appropriate where, as here, a litigant's "pattern of delay and avoidance" includes unreasonably stonewalling a properly-noticed deposition.⁸ Petitioning Creditors are willing – for the moment – to stop short of requesting terminating sanctions, and accept monetary sanctions to enable this case to proceed forward to summary judgment on the merits.

Monetary sanctions are the "mildest" on the "spectrum of sanctions" provided in FRCP 37.9 Here, such sanctions may properly include the fees incurred in: (a) preparing for the 09/11/2007 deposition that was unreasonably cancelled at the last minute, (b) renewing the 05/24/2007 request for monetary sanctions, and (c) bringing the current motion for additional sanctions. 10 Payment of these amounts is a fair and proportionate response to Lopez's misconduct given that none of the expenses would have been incurred but for his unreasonable stonewalling. Indeed, where a "proceeding has been caused entirely by [a party's] failure to comply with their discovery obligations, the Court could impose the entire cost of the proceeding on [that party]."11 Requiring the offending party to pay for the expenses caused by their misconduct "sends a strong message that playing 'hide the ball' in discovery does not go unpunished."¹²

In re Rice, 14 B.R. 843, 846 (9th Cir.BAP 1981); Matter of Visioneering Const., supra, 661 F.2d at 123 (court's order striking alleged debtor's answer was an appropriate sanction since the debtor had "deliberately and obstinately refused to cooperate with discovery requests and court orders").

⁸ De Falco v. Oak Lawn Public Library, 25 Fed.Appx. 455, 457 (7th Cir. 2001) (terminating sanctions appropriate where the plaintiff "did not miss only a single discovery deadline, and his refusal to attend his deposition flouted the district court's order and exemplified the delay and avoidance to which the court referred"); see also, (terminating sanction was proper where defendant's "flouting of the district court's authority and [plaintiff's] right to depose him furnished adequate proof that he acted willfully"); Shawmut Boston Intern. Banking Corp. v. Duque-Pena, 767 F.2d 1504, 1507 (11th Cir. 1985); Ziontz v. Food Fair Stores, Inc., 31 F.R.D. 295 (E.D.Pa.1962).

Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Pictures Corp., supra, 602 at 1066 ("The mildest [discovery sanction] is an order to reimburse the opposing party for expenses caused by the failure to cooperate").

¹⁰ Goldman v. Alhadeff, 131 F.R.D. 188, 192 (Dist. Ct. W.D.Wash. 1990).

L. Tarango Trucking v. County of Contra Costa, 202 F.R.D. 614, 620 (Dist. Ct. N.D.Cal. 2001).

¹² Id.

KEEHN & ASSOCIATES, APC ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 402 WEST BROADWAY. SUITE 1210 SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (619) 400-2201 - FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

///

B. Sanctions Must be Imposed in Light of the Entire Record

"[S]anctions must be weighed in light of the full record in the case." Here, it took
Petitioning Creditors over six months to receive partial, inadequate responses to their written discovery. That portion of discovery has now concluded with this Court's imposition of evidentiary sanctions prohibiting Lopez from proffering any evidence not produced pursuant to those written requests. The only remaining discovery is Lopez's deposition, which Petitioning Creditors have been attempting to take for over 3 months. Petitioning Creditors would have preferred to schedule Lopez's deposition back in January or February of this year, but were forced to delay that process by Lopez's refusal to provide meaningful responses to the written discovery which Petitioning Creditors needed to review and analyze before concluding this discovery phase with Lopez's deposition. "Only by requiring [Lopez] to pay for [Petitioning Creditors] preparation for and participation" in the unnecessary discovery proceedings can this Court redress the harm and prejudice inflicted on Petitioning Creditors and the judicial process. 14

```
///
///
```

F.2d at 1068. Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Pictures Corp., supra, 602

L. Tarango Trucking v. County of Contra Costa, supra, 202 F.R.D. at 620; see also, *G-K Properties v. Redevelopment Agency of City of San Jose*, 577 F.2d 645, 647 (9th Cir. 1978) ("Litigants who are willful in halting the discovery process act in opposition to the authority of the court and cause impermissible prejudice to their opponents").

9

13

14 15

16

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
402 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1210
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
TELEPHONE (619) 400-2200 - FACSIMILE (619) 400-2201

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III. CONCLUSION

As stated supra, "sanctions must be weighed in light of the full record in the case." ¹⁵ Petitioning Creditors have now thoroughly set forth Lopez's appalling record of ignoring his discovery obligations and thumbing his nose at this Court's authority and orders. His conduct is inexcusable. Based on that, and on all of the foregoing, Petitioning Creditors respectfully request that this Court issue an Order imposing monetary sanctions against Lopez in the amount of \$12,133.50.

Dated: October 19, 2007 **KEEHN & ASSOCIATES** A Professional Corporation

> By: //s// L. Scott Keehn

L. Scott Keehn

Attorneys for Petitioning Creditors

15 Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Pictures Corp., supra, 602 F.2d at 1068.

ASA 0323

111778/LFK/5311.01